Colorado Squawfish Recovery Plan



COLORADO SQUAWFISH

Revised
RECOVERY PLAN

(Original Approval: March 16, 1978)

Prepared by the Colorado River Fishes Recovery Team

For
Region 6
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
Denver, Colorado

Approved: Ralph R. Beamesderfer
Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

Date: AUGUST 6, 1991

DISCLAIMER PAGE

Recovery plans delineate reasonable actions which are believed to be required to recover and/or protect the species. Plans are published by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, sometimes prepared with the assistance of recovery teams, contractors, State agencies, and others. Objectives will only be attained and funds expended contingent upon appropriations, priorities, and other budgetary constraints. Recovery plans do not necessarily represent the views nor the official positions or approvals of any individuals or agencies, other than the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, involved in the plan formulation. They represent the official position of the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service only after they have been signed by the Regional Director or. Director as aoproved. Approved recovery plans are subject to revision, update, or modification as dictated by new findings, changes in species status, and the completion of recovery tasks.

Literature Citations should read as follows:

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1990. Colorado Squawfish Recovery Plan. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Denver, Colorado. 56 pp.

Additional co-pies may be purchased from:

The Fish and Wildlife Reference Service
5430 Grosvenor Lane, Suite 110
Bethesda, Maryland 20814

(301) 429-6430
or
l-800-582-3421

The fee for the plan varies depending on the number of pages in the plan.

Artist Credit: The drawing on the cover is by Brett Morrison, Giraffiks, Glenwood Springs, Colorado.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Current Species Status: The Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) was listed as endangered on March 11, 1967. The original recovery plan was approved on March 16, 1978. This is a revision of that plan. The Colorado squawfish population has declined from historic levels. This decline may be due to various human-caused physical and biological changes in the Colorado River system where the fish occurs.

Habitat Reauirements and Limitina Factors: The present range of natural populations of the Colorado squawfish is restricted to the Upper Colorado River Basin (Upper Basin) in Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, although hatchery reared Colorado squawfish have been reintroduced into the Salt and Verde Rivers in Arizona. The decline in the numbers and distribution of Colorado squawfish is due to physical changes in the river system, including stream alteration and habitat fragmentation as a result of dam construction, irrigation, dewatering, and channelization. Biological changes including competition with and predation by introduced nonnative fish also are factors in the squawfish decline.

Recovery Objective: Delisting. Each Colorado Squawfish Recovery Area (Recovery Area) can be delisted as recovery objectives are achieved.

Recovery Criteria: Each Recovery Area will remain listed until such time as their recovery criteria are met. The species can be downlisted or delisted when all Recovery Areas have been downlisted or delisted. The Colorado squawfish will be considered eligible for reclassification to threatened when naturally self-sustaining populations are maintained in the Upper Basin in the following Recovery Areas:

(a) the Green River subbasin including the Green River from its confluence with the Colorado River to its confluence with the Yampa River, the lower 220 km (137 miles) of the Yampa River, and the lower 240 km (150 miles) of the White River;

(b) the Colorado River from Palisade, Colorado, to Lake Powell; and

(c) the San Juan River from Lake Powell upstream to the confluence of the Animas River near Farmington, New Mexico.

(The Colorado squawfish may be downlisted separately by Recovery Area with the Green River and Colorado River areas being downlisted concurrently.)

The Colorado squawfish will be considered eligible for delisting when:

(a) downlisting criteria have been met;

(b) a population in either the Salt River from a diversion dam upstream of Roosevelt Lake to Apache Falls or in the Verde River from Horseshoe Reservoir upstream to Paulden, Arizona, is reestablished and habitats and flows are protected. Feasibility of this effort will be reevaluated at the conclusion of the 1995 Lower Basin Agreement. At that time, the need for inclusion of these areas in the delisting criteria will be reconsidered;

(c) the threat of significant.fragmentation (e.g., fragmentation that would impair the reproductive success of the population or limit/impact the adult population size) is removed;

(d) essential habitats, primary migration routes, required streamflows, and necessary water quality are legally protected; and

(e) other identifiable threats, if any, which may significantly affect the population are removed. .

(The Colorado squawfish may be delisted separately by Recovery Area, with the Green River and Colorado River areas being delisted concurrently.)

Actions Needed: Major actions needed to achieve the recovery of the Colorado squawfish are:

1. Monitor population status and define the life history requirements of the Colorado squawfish.

2. Implement management plans to protect and maintain Colorado squawfish populations and their habitat.

3. Reintroduce Colorado squawfish into their historic range.

4. Promote and encourage improved communication and information dissemination.

5. Determine biological criteria/objectives for downlisting/delisting the Colorado squawfish.

Date of Recovery: The Colorado squawfish is being recovered 'in correlation with the bonytail chub, the humpback chub, and the razorback sucker. This recovery plan addresses the recovery needs of the Colorado squawfish in both the upper and lower basins of the Colorado River. The "Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fish Species in the Upper Colorado River Basin" (Recovery Program) identifies specific recovery tasks and strategies. to be employed in recovering the Colorado River fishes in the Upper Basin, excluding the San Juan River. The goal of the implementation program is to recover these Colorado River fishes in the Upper Basin area in 15 years at an estimated cost of $53 million. The Recovery Program will be considered a stepdown effort of the Colorado Squawfish Recovery Plan and will provide the primary mechanism for implementing the recovery plan in the Upper Basin. A recovery program specifically covering the San Juan River is currently being developed. Development of an endangered fishes management program for the lower basin is being planned.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Colorado Squawfish Recovery Plan was prepared by the Colorado River Fishes Recovery Team, composed of the following individuals:

Jim St. Amant, Team Member, California Department of Fish and Game, 1975-1982, Team Leader, 1982-1989,
Steve Petersburg, Team Secretary, National Park Service, 1976-1989, Team Leader 1989-present,
Harold Tyus, Team Member, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1979-present
Reed Harris, Team Member, Bureau of Reclamation, 1980-1990
Jim Bennett, Team Member, Colorado Division of Wildlife, 1982-present
Gerry Burton, Team Member, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1982-1988
Randy Radant, Team Member, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, 1982-1989
Dave Buck, Team Member, Nevada Department of Wildlife, 1984-present
Dean Hendrickson, Team Member, Arizona Department of Game and Fish, 1987-1990
Denise Knight, Team Member, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, 1988- 1990
Frank Baucum, Team Member, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1988-present
David Probst, Team Member, New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, 1988-present
Glenn Black, Team Member, California Department of Fish and Game, 1988-present

Numerous other persons provided reviews and/or information for the preparation of this plan. The Fish and Wildlife Service greatly appreciates the invaluable assistance provided by team members (past and present), consultants, and other individuals who contributed to the preparation of this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER i

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY iii

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS v

PART I. INTRODUCTION 1

History 1
General Description 2
Distribution and Abundance 2
Historic Distribution 2
Present Distribution and Abundance 4
Life History 6
Habitat Preference 6
Temperature Preference 6
Reproduction and Migration 8
Growth 11
Food Habits 12
Cultural, Economic, and Biological Importance 13
Importance of Tributaries 14
Reasons for Decline 14
Sensitive Areas and Priority Recovery Areas 17
Sensitive Areas 17
Priority Areas for Recovery 17

PART II. RECOVERY 20

Objective 20
Downlisting Criteria 21
Delisting Criteria 21
Stepdown Outline 23
Narrative 27

LITERATURE CITED 40

PART III. IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE 51

PART I
INTRODUCTION

Hi story

The Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) is the largest of four living species of the genus Ptychocheilus. Although the specific name lucius means "pike like," the Colorado squawfish is taxonomically placed in the large and diverse minnow family Cyprinidae. It is the largest cyprinid in North America (Miller 1961), a voracious predator, and the top native carnivore of the Colorado River system. Maximum weights exceeding 36 kg (79 lbs) and lengths of nearly 1.8 m (71 in.) have been recorded; however, specimens weighing more than 7 kg (15 lbs) have been rare in recent times (Minckley 1973; Behnke and Benson 1980). Its substantial size and migratory habit resulted in use of the common names "white salmon," "Colorado salmon," or simply "salmon" in early literature (Minckley 1973; Behnke and Benson 1980).

The evolutionary history of Ptychocheilus lucius has been marked by scores of oscillations between pluvial- and arid-dominated habitats caused by climatic fluctuations during the Miocene, Pliocene, and early Pleistocene epochs (G. Smith 1981). P. lucius was adapted to swift water by the mid-Pliocene (Uyeno and Miller 1965), but fossil evidence indicates that it may have used lakes as well as rivers (G. Smith 1975, 1981; M. Smith 1981). The species may have developed the capability to survive in either lakes or rivers, depending on prevailing climatic conditions (Tyus 1986). Large size, great mobility, and spawning migrations would be adaptations to drier seasons when suitable spawning habitats are limited or far-removed from other adult habitats (G. Smith 1981). These adaptive life strategies that formerly benefited the fish (Tyus and McAda 1984; Tyus 1986) may now be contributing to its decline.

The other three living members of the genus Ptychocheilus include the Sacramento squawfish (P. grandis) of the Sacramento-San Joaquin, Pajaro, Salinas, and Russian Rivers in California; the Northern squawfish (P. oreaonensis) of the Columbia River Basin in Nevada, Oregon, Washington, Idaho, and Montana, north to the Nass River, British Columbia; and the Umpqua squawfish (P. umpauae) in the Umpqua and Suislaw Rivers in Oregon (Lee et al. 1980). Unlike the Colorado squawfish, these three species remain common in their native waters.

The Colorado squawfish was listed as endangered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) in the Endangered Species List published in the Federal Reoister (Vol. 32[43]:40001) on March 11, 1967. Full protection under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, occurred upon its listing in the Federal Register (Vol. 39[3]:1175) on January 4, 1974. The States of California, Arizona, New Mexico, Utah, and Colorado each have laws protecting the Colorado squawfish within State waters.

General Description

The Colorado squawfish is an elongated pike-like fish. The mouth is large and nearly horizontal, with a pharyngeal tooth formula of 2,5-4,2; the long, slender pharyngeal teeth are adapted for grasping and holding prey. The anal and dorsal fin each have nine principal rays and the dorsal fin originates slightly posterior to the insertion of the pelvic fins. The scales are small and embedded on the belly, breast, and nape, and number 80-95 in the lateral line. Adults are strongly countershaded with a dark, olivaceous back; lighter sides; and a white belly. Young are silvery and usually have a dark, wedge-shaped spot at the base of the chudal fin.

Distribution and Abundance

Historic Distribution

The Colorado squawfish is endemic to the Colorado River basin. Early records indicate it was abundant in the mainstem Colorado River, most of its major tributaries, and in the Colorado River delta in Mexico (Jordan and Evermann 1896) (Figure 1). Colorado squawfish have been reported at the following locations:

1. Arizona: Gila River and its tributaries, the San Pedro, Salt, and Verde Rivers; the Colorado River mainstem from the United States-Mexico border to the Utah-Arizona State line and the lower most Little Colorado River (Minckley 1973, 1985).

2. California: Colorado River mainstem from the United States-Mexico border to the Nevada State line and the Salton Sea, which was sporadically filled with water from the Colorado River (Minckley 1973, 1985; Moyle 1976).

3. Colorado: Colorado River and lower reaches of the Gunnison, White, Yampa, Little Snake, Dolores, San Juan, Uncompahgre, and Animas Rivers (Jordan 1891; Ellis 1914; Beckman 1952; Lemons 1954; Johnson 1976; Valdez et al. 1982a), and Plateau Creek, a tributary of the Dolores River (Bob Burdick, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, pers. comm. 1990.).

4. Nevada: Colorado River mainstem (La Rivers 1962).

5. New Mexico: San Juan and Animas Rivers (Koster 1957, 1960; Platania 1990).

6. Utah: Colorado, Green, Duchesne, San Juan, White, and Dolores Rivers, and probably numerous smaller streams (Ellis 1914; Holden 1973; Seethaler 1978).

7. Wyoming: Green River mainstem (Baxter and Simon 1970; Bosley 1960; Johnson and Oberholtzer 1987) and Little Snake River (Marsh et al. 1991).

8. Mexico: Mainstem Colorado River and its tributaries and sloughs from the United States-Mexico border to the Gulf of California (Sonora and Baja California del Norte) (Follett 1961; Minckley 1979).



FIGURE 1. Past and Present Distribution of the Colorado Squawfish in the Colorado River System.

An indication of the prior abundance of Colorado squawfish was its use for food and fertilizer (Miller 1961; Minckley 1973) and its widespread favorable reputation with early settlers as a food and game fish from Colorado downstream into Arizona (Ellis 1914; Dill 1944; Carhart 1950; Rostlund 1952; LaRivers 1962; Sigler and Miller 1963; Minckley 1965, 1973.).

Present Distribution and Abundance

Natural populations of the Colorado squawfish are restricted to the Upper Colorado River Basin (Upper Basin) in Wyoming, Colorado, Utah, and New Mexico. The species is most abundant in the Green River below the confluence with the Yampa River; the Yampa River from near Hayden, Colorado, to the confluence of the Green River; the White River from Taylor Draw Dam near Rangely, Colorado, downstream to the confluence with the Green River; and mainstem Colorado River from Palisade, Colorado, downstream to Lake Powell (Holden and Wick 1982; Miller et al. 1982b; Tyus et al. 1982b, Tyus et al. 1987; Wick et al. 1985, 1986; Archer et al. 1985.

Catches of young, juvenile, and adult Colorado squawfish are reported to be an order of magnitude higher in the Green River subbasin of Colorado and Utah than elsewhere (Tyus et al.. 1986; Tyus 1990). Recent investigations have found many young, juveniles, and adults in the Green River from the mouth of the Yampa River to its confluence with the Colorado (Holden 1973; Holden and Stalnaker 1975a, 1975b; Tyus et al. 1982a, 1982b, 1987; Archer et al. 1985). Adults have been captured in the lower 199 km (124 miles) of the Yampa River and in Lodore Canyon of the Green River (Tyus et al. 1982a; Miller et al. 1982b), and larvae were identified from the lower 30 km (19 miles) of the Yampa River in Dinosaur National Monument (Wick et al. 1981, 1985, 1986; Haynes et al. 1984; Tyus and Haines 1991). Two adult Colorado squawfish implanted with radio transmitters ascended the Little Snake River in 1988 (Wick and Hawkins 1989). Investigation of the Green and Little Snake Rivers in Wyoming in 1986 failed to produce any Colorado squawfish, (Johnson and Oberholtzer 1987); however, an adult Colorado squawfish was found in the Little Snake River in Wyoming in 1990 (Marsh et. al. 1991).

Colorado squawfish have been found in the lower 243 km (151 miles) of the White River in Utah and Colorado (Prewitt et al. 1978; Wick et al. 1979, 1981; Carlson et al. 1979; Lanigan and Berry 1981; Miller et al. 1982a; Martinez 1986a). In the Duchesne River, a fisherman caught a Colorado squawfish at the mouth of the Uinta River in 1975 (Seethaler 1978) and a specimen implanted with a radio transmitter ascended the Duchesne River in 1980 (Tyus et al. 1982b).

Adult and young Colorado squawfish still inhabit Lake Powell (Minckley 1973; Wick et al. 1981; Valdez et al. 1982b; Miller et al. 1984). Adult Colorado squawfish were captured in the riverine portion of the reservoir in 1980 (Persons and Bulkley 1982). Valdez (1990) al so reported both adult and juvenile Colorado squawfish in Cataract Canyon at the inlet of Lake Powell, indicating that the species is reproducing in or above that reach.

A small reproducing population of Colorado squawfish exists in the San Juan River. A single juvenile squawfish was captured in the San Juan River just below the confluence of McElmo Creek near Aneth, Utah, in 1978 (Minckley and Carothers 1980; VTN 1978). Platania et al. (1991) summarized captures of squawfish in New Mexico and Utah from 1987 to 1989. Eight adults and nineteen young-of-the-year were captured (two additional adults were observed but not captured). Except for one adult captured in the San Juan arm of Lake Powell, the adults were captured in the San Juan River between River Mile (RM) 89 near Bluff, Utah, and RM 163 near Shiprock, New Mexico. In 1987, 18 young-of-the-year were collected from the San Juan River. Two were collected downstream of Shiprock, New Mexico (Platania et al. 1991), six near Bluff, Utah, and ten in the Lake Powell inflow area. A young-of-the-year captured in 1988, also was taken from this inflow area. In 1990, another young-of-the-year was collected near Bluff, Utah (Bill Bates, Utah Division of Wildlife, pers. comm. 1990).

In the Lower Colorado River Basin (Lower Basin), Miller and Lowe (1964), and Minckley and Deacon (1968) considered Colorado squawfish extirpated from the Gila River system, and Minckley (1973, 1979) later expanded this to include all Arizona waters except above Glen Canyon Dam in Lake Powell. No Colorado squawfish (other than stocked fish) have been taken from the Gila River basin since 1950 (Miller 1961); a 1958 record of this species from the Salt River, Arizona (Branson et al. 1966), was based on misidentified roundtail chub (Gila robusta) (R.R. Miller pers. comm. to W.L. Minckley). The last adult squawfish from the mainstem lower Colorado River was taken by a fisherman in 1967 in Lake Mohave (Minckley and Deacon 1968).

Although natural populations of the species were extirpated from the Lower Basin, hatchery-reared Colorado squawfish have been introduced in several locations. More than 96,000 fingerling and 442 larger Colorado squawfish, 355-405 mm (14-16 in.) total length (TL), were introduced at six locations on the Salt and Verde Rivers, Arizona, in 1985 (Brooks 1986). Seven of the larger squawfish were captured in experimental trammel nets within 10 days after stocking, and five more fish of the larger size group were captured about 5 months after stocking. In 1987, 31,750 fingerling Colorado squawfish from Dexter National Fish Hatchery were stocked at two sites in the Salt River drainage (including 6,750 into Canyon Creek) and 100 Colorado squawfish were stocked into the Verde River. Arizona Game and Fish Department biologists recaptured three in Canyon Creek, and one in the Salt River. In 1988, Dexter National Fish Hatchery personnel stocked 20,000 fingerlings into the Salt River, 18,000 into Canyon Creek, and 89,303 into the Verde River. Bubbling Ponds State Fish Hatchery personnel stocked 120,604 fingerlings into the Verde River and 1,194 into Sycamore Creek, a tributary to the Verde River. In 1988, 57 Colorado squawfish were recaptured on Verde River, and six from the Salt River. Recaptures during both years included fish which had been at large for 3 months to 1 year (Dean Hendrickson, Arizona Game and Fish, pers. comm. 1990). Colorado squawfish stockings continue in the Salt and Verde Rivers, and expansion of the program is planned.

Life History

Habitat Preference

Colorado squawfish are adapted to rivers with seasonally variable flow, high silt loads, and turbulence. Young-of-the-year (up to 64 mm [2.5 in.] TL), juveniles (65-200 mm [2.5-8 in.]), and subadults (200-400 mm [8-16 in.]) live in shallow backwater areas, with little or no current over silt and sand bottoms (Haines and Tyus 1990; Holden 1973; Holden and Stalnaker 1975a, 1975b; Holden and Twedt 1980; Miller et al. 1982a, 1982b; Tyus and Haines 1991; Valdez et al. 1982b; Valdez and Wick 1983; Wick et al. 1979, 1981). There is a change in habitat preference at about 200 mm (8 in.) TL (Miller et al. 1982a), with larger fish selecting deeper water of at least some velocity. Adults are large-river fish, found in a variety of depths and velocities over silt, sand, gravel, and boulder substrates (Holden 1973; Holden and Twedt 1980; Holden and Wick 1982; Miller et al. 1982a, 1982b; Tyus et al. 1982a, 1984; Valdez et al. 1982b; Wick et al. 1979, 1981).

Radiotelemetry studies (Miller et al. 1983; Tyus 1985, 1986; Tyus and McAda 1984; Tyus et al. 1982b; Wick et al. 1983) have provided considerable information on habitat usage of adult Colorado squawfish. Adults use various habitats depending upon season, streamflow, water temperature, and availability (Holden and Wick 1982; Tyus and McAda 1984; Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1983, 1985, 1986). During peak runoff, adults move into backwater areas or flooded riparian areas where velocity is lower and water temperatures are higher than in the main channel (Wick et al. 1983). During the decline in water level following peak runoff, spawning adult fish move into run-riffle areas and also occupy run, eddy, and pool habitats (Tyus 1990). Adult Colorado squawfish exhibited little movement during winter (October-April) in the upper Green River (Valdez and Masslich 1989). Of 20 adults radio-tagged in October, 15 moved less than 5 km by the end of the following March. The fish occupied primarily slow runs, slackwater, eddies, and backwaters.

Temperature Preference

The thermal tolerance of Colorado squawfish is broad, as evidenced by the range of temperatures to which the species was presumably adapted. Summer water temperatures in the vicinity of Yuma, Arizona, for example, commonly approach or exceed 350C (950F) and may drop to lower than 10°C (50°F) in winter (Minckley 1979). In the Upper Basin, water temperatures generally range from 250C (770F) during the summer to freezing (0°C [32°F]) in winter.

Tyus (1990) summarized the water temperatures associated with prespawning, migratory, and spawning periods for adult Colorado squawfish in the Upper Green River Basin. Spawning migrations were initiated at water temperatures of 14-20°C (57°-68°F), and spawning occurred at temperatures of 220C (720F) (range 15-27.5C [59°-82°F]). In the Yampa River, migrations and spawning periods varied between years. Migrations were initiated from May 12 to June 10, associated with a mean water temperature of about 140C (57°F), and spawning occurred at 210C (700F) (Table 1) (Tyus and Karp 1989). However, Tyus et al. (1987) and Wick et al. (1985) cautioned that main channel

temperatures may not accurately portray temperature preferences of Colorado squawfish because the species frequently utilized habitats outside the main river channel, such as large backwaters, gravel pits, and flooded bottomlands, which may be controlled more by ambient air temperatures and solar radiation. In laboratory experimentation, Marsh (1985) found that optimal temperatures for embryo development and hatching of Colorado squawfish was near 200C (68°F). Percentage hatch was highest and incidence of abnormalities lowest at that temperature. Tyus (1991) found that young Colorado squawfish moved in and out of backwater habitats as water temperatures fluctuated. The young fish apparently moved into backwaters that were warmer that the river channels, but moved back into the river as backwater temperatures declined.

Temperature preferences have been determined in the laboratory for Colorado squawfish reared at Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery in Arizona. Juvenile Colorado squawfish acclimated to 200C (680F) selected a higher temperature (26.6°C [80°F]) than those acclimated to either 260C (780F) or 140C (570F); fish acclimated at 260C (780F) selected 23.7°C (750F); and those acclimated at 140C (570F) selected 21.9°C (71°F). Adults selected temperatures ranging from 21.50 (710F) to 25.7°C (78°F), with a final temperature preference of 25.4°C (780F) (Bulkley et al. 1982). Maximum growth of 45 to 100 mm (1.7-4 in.) TL Colorado squawfish in a laboratory study occurred at 250C (77°F), while growth at 200C (680F) and 300C (860F) was about 50 percent of the maximum (Black and Bulkley 1985).

Table 1. Temperatures associated with Colorado squawfish migration and spawning periods in the Yampa River, 1981-88 [adapted from Tyus and Karp (1989)].

Year	Type Water Year	Migration			Spawning		
		Period Dates	Temp. (mean) C°	F°	Period Dates	Temp. (median) C°	F°
1981	Low	5-12 to 6-20	13.80	57°	6-19 to 7-20	21.80	71°
1982	Average	6-10 to 6-20	12.70	55°	7-4 to 8-8	22.00	72°
1983	High	6-10 to 7-10	13.40	56°	7-12 to 8-18	22.50	73°
1984	High	--	--		7-10 to 8-17	22.00	72°
1985	High	--	--		6-21 to 7-25	20.00	68°
1986	High	--	--		6-27 to 8-5	20.80	69°
1987	Low	--	--		6-3 to 7-16	20.50	69°
1988	Average	6-8 to 6-30	16.90	62°	6-20 to 7-24	21.50	71°

Reproduction and Migration

In the wild, male Colorado squawfish do not mature until they reach or exceed 400 mm (15.7 in.) TL and (based on scale ageing techniques) attain an age of at least 6 years; females mature a year later (Seethaler 1978). Tyus (1990) found that ripe males averaged 555 mm (21 in.) TL (n=194), but ripe females averaged 654 mm (26 in.) TL (n=14) on spawning grounds in the Green River basin. Hatchery-reared males matured at 5 years and females at 6 years of age (Hamman 1981). Some of these hatchery fish matured naturally while others produced gametes only after an injection of carp pituitary extract (Hamman 1981).

Colorado squawfish gonads ripen during spring runoff (May-June). Laboratory and field studies indicate that spawning begins when water temperatures reach about 210C (700F) (Hamman 1981; Miller et al. 1982a; Toney 1974; Vanicek and Kramer 1969). Archer et al. (1985), Haynes et al. (1984), and Tyus (1990) found that spawning occurred between late June and mid-August when water temperature reached 18-25°C (64°-77°F), with peak spawning activity occurring between 22-250C. Although turbidity has precluded direct observation of spawning behavior, radiotracking and collection data suggest similarities to the northern squawfish (Beamesderfer and Congleton 1981; Patten and Rodman 1969) .

Radiotelemetry studies and collections of spawning fish have added to the knowledge of Colorado squawfish spawning activities, seasonal movements, and habitat use (Miller et al. 1984; Radant et al. 1983; Tyus and McAda 1984; Tyus et al. 1982b; Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1983). During the spawning season, adult Colorado squawfish have been known to migrate up to 320 km (200 miles), upstream or downstream, to reach spawning areas in the Green River Basin (Miller et al. 1983; Tyus' 1985; Tyus 1990). Homing behavior and fidelity to spawning locations has been indicated for Colorado squawfish in the Green and Yampa Rivers (Tyus 1985; Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1986). Some authors suggest that repeated use of the same spawning areas may reflect a limited availability of spawning habitats rather than true homing (Archer et al. 1985; O'Brien 1984); however, Tyus (1990) reported that migrating Colorado squawfish pass through many miles of potential spawning habitat to reach specific spawning areas in Yampa Canyon. However, all adult-sized Colorado squawfish may not spawn annually, and a lack of long-distance migratory behavior has been associated with less than annual spawning and sexual immaturity (Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1983).

Radiotelemetry studies in the Green River basin suggest that spawning is concentrated in two major sites: (1) the lower 32 km (20 miles) of Yampa River canyon; and (2) Gray Canyon of the Green River (Tyus 1985; Tyus and McAda 1984; Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1985) (see Figure 2). Spawning also is suspected in Labyrinth Canyon in the Green River about 50 km (31.25 miles) upstream of the Colorado River confluence (Tyus et al. 1987). This is supported by the capture of many young larval fish (protolarvae) immediately downstream of this reach (Valdez 1990). Radiotelemetry, collections of ripe fish, and recaptures have confirmed long-distance migration to these locales. These migrations average about 90 miles, and include both upstream and downstream movements (Tyus 1990; Wick et al. 1983). A total of 153 Colorado

squawfish were radio-tracked by Service personnel from 1980-88 (Tyus 1990) and five were tracked by National Park Service and Colorado Division of Wildlife workers in 1982 (Wick et al. 1983). Collections made on the two known spawning grounds during 1981-88 produced 308 Colorado squawfish, of which 208 were ripe and an additional 67 fish showed secondary sex characteristics associated with breeding condition (Tyus 1990). Four fish tagged in the White River were recaptured at the Yampa and Gray canyons spawning areas, and the recapture of five fish tagged and recaptured in the Yampa River spawning grounds after 2 or more years indicate a fidelity to that area (Tyus 1985, 1990).

It is possible that the Yampa spawning aggregation is historical; Holden and Stalnaker (1975b) reported increased numbers of ripe Colorado squawfish in the lower Yampa River in July 1968-70, and Seethaler (1978) reported ripe fish there in 1974-75. Successful reproduction was substantiated when larval Colorado squawfish (9 to 13 mm [.35-.51 in] TL) were taken below river kilometer (RK) 32 (rivermile.[RM] 20) on the Yampa and downstream Green River in 1980, 1981, and 1982, and below RK 40 (RM 25) in 1983; however, only one has been collected upstream from these points (Haynes et al. 1984; Tyus et al. 1987).

Gray Canyon of the Green River was suspected as a spawning site in 1981 when a radio-implanted Colorado squawfish from the White River was tracked to that location (Radant et al. 1983; Tyus et al. 1982a). Spawning was confirmed there in 1983 (Tyus 1985). Additional Colorado squawfish have been tracked to Gray Canyon, and 111 ripe fish were collected there in 1981-88 (Tyus 1990).

Radiotelemetry studies also show upstream and downstream movement of adult Colorado squaw-fish in the upper mainstem Colorado River. One dramatic example was provided by a fish radio-tagged in Gypsum Canyon of upper Lake Powell on April 5, 1982. On July 9, 1982, the fish was located in the lower Cataract Canyon area. The next contact was made above the Black Rocks area of the Colorado River some 258 km (161.25 miles) upstream. This movement was accomplished in 41 days and was believed related to spawning. At the end of September, the fish was located in the Colorado River near Clifton, Colorado, approximately 320 km (200 miles) from its furthest documented downstream Jocation. However, not all radio-tagged fish in the mainstem Colorado River have displayed such dramatic migratory behavior. Radiotelemetry studies conducted by the Colorado River Fishery Project from 1982-85 (Archer et al. 1985; Miller et al. 1983) in the Grand Valley region of the Colorado River found that movement during April to October was generally limited to 40-48 km (25-30 miles).

Two reaches of the Colorado River containing suspected spawning areas are Black Rocks to Loma (RK 217-233 [RM 135-145]) and Grand Junction to Clifton (RK 257-290 [RM 160-181]) (Archer et al. 1985). Location of larval squawfish aggregations and the presence of suitable spawning habitat in the Colorado River near Cataract Canyon 22-29 km (14-18 miles) below the confluence of the Green River, in Professor Valley at above the confluence of the Green River at RK 121-137 (RM 75-85), and upstream from the Dolores River confluence at RK 160-185 (RM 100-115) indicate spawning is occurring in or near these areas as well (Archer et al. 1985; Valdez 1990).



FIGURE 2. Upper Colorado River Basin showing river kilometers and major canyon areas.

Larval drift may be an important part of the Colorado squawfish life cycle (Jyus and Haines 1991) and laboratory studies indicate that "drift" may be active rather than a passive response to water current (Paulin et al. 1991). Larval squawfish drift downstream after hatching in the Green and Yampa Rivers and rear in reaches of the river that are different from those in which spawning occurred (Haynes et al. 1984; Jyus and Haines 1991).

The most important rearing area in the Colorado River for young-of-the-year Colorado squawfish is between Moab, Utah, and the confluence with the Green River (RK O-96 [RM 0-60]) (Archer et al. 1985). Other nursery areas in the Colorado River have been found: (1) in the upper Professor Valley (RK 112-129 [RM 70-81]); (2) between the confluence with the Dolores River and Westwater Canyon (RK 144-175 [RM 90-109]); (3) between Black Rocks and Loma (RK 225-241 [RM 140-150]); and (4) downstream from the confluence with the Gunnison River (RK 257-273 [RM 160-170]).

No larval Colorado squawfish.have been found in the White River. Some adults that were tagged in the White River have been recaptured or radio-tracked to the Yampa and Gray Canyon spawning sites (Tyus 1990). Osmundson and Kaeding (1989) reported the capture of a single larval Colorado squawfish in the lower 3 km (1.9 miles) of the Gunnison River.

In 1987, a total of 18 young-of-the-year Colorado squawfish were captured in the San Juan River at various locations. These fish were collected from backwaters of the river: 2 were taken from the area downstream of Shiprock, New Mexico, at RK 222 (RM 139) and RK 228 (RM 143); 6 near Bluff, Utah, at RK 150-161 (RM 94-101); and 10 were taken in the lowermost 38 river kilometers immediately upstream from Lake Powell. An additional young-of-the-year also was taken from this lowermost river area in 1988, collected from backwaters at RK 18 (RM 11) (Platania et al. 1991). In 1990; a young-of-the-year Colorado squawfish was collected from backwaters near Bluff, Utah (Bill Bates, Utah Division of Wildlife, pers. comm. 1990).

Colorado squawfish were first successfully propagated at Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery in 1974. Progeny since have been obtained by both artificial and natural spawning (Hamman 1981; Joney 1974). Wild Colorado squawfish obtained from the Green and Colorado Rivers in autumn 1979 spawned over filter gravel in raceways. Wild-caught and hatchery-produced broodstock at Dexter National Fish Hatchery, New Mexico, spawned in May and June after an injection of pituitary extract; production was primarily limited by the physical constraints of the facility for holding and growing young (Hamman 1986).

Growth

As with most fishes, Colorado squawfish growth rates are variable and dependent upon water temperature, food, water quality, age, and numerous other parameters. Jyus (1988) reported that the growth rate of 59 tagged and recaptured adult fish in the Green River averaged 10.2 mm/year (.4 in./year). Vanicek and Kramer (1969) back-calculated mean TL's at annulus formation for 182 Colorado squawfish from the upper Green River, Utah. The fish ranged in

estimated age from I to XI and the results were: I=44 mm (1.7 in.); II=95 mm (3.7 in.); III=162 mm (6.4 in.); IV=238 mm (9.4 in.); V=320 mm (12.6 in.); VI=391 mm (15.4 in.); VIII-499 mm (19.6 in.); and X=600 mm (23.6 in.). Seethaler (1978) found similar growth rates for Colorado squawfish in both the Green and Colorado Rivers. However, the accuracy of using scales for aging this species has not been verified. At Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery, Colorado squawfish hatched in the summer of 1980 doubled their length in 14 days and attained a size of 48-50 mm (1.9-1.96 in.) in 110 days (Hamman 1981). Growth of broodstock held in cold water (10°C [50°F]) at Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery in 1973 and 1974 was slow. Seven adult fish gained a total of only 0.64 kg (1.41 lbs) over a g-month period after being fed 40.8 kg (90 lbs.) of live fingerling trout (Joney 1974). Length-weight relationships of these fish was similar to that for wild fish reported by Vanicek and Kramer (1969) and Seethaler (1978).

Fingerling Colorado squawfish (SO-91 mm [2-3.6 in.] TL) stocked in grow-out ponds near Grand Junction, Colorado, grew to a range of 185-304 mm (7.3-12 in.) JL in 1 year (Osmundson 1987). Similar sizes were attained by Colorado squawfish in the adjacent Colorado River in about 4 years (Kaeding and Osmundson 1988). The difference in growth was attributed to warmer water temperatures (about 1.8 times more degree-days than the river), lack of competitors, and an abundant food supply in the pond. Growth was slower and more variable in other ponds in the same area, which was probably due to the variation in food availability, because temperature regimes were similar among ponds. Tyus and Haines (1991) found that growth of age-0 Colorado squawfish was related to the amount of available habitat, but reduced growth at lower temperatures was not detected.

Food Habits

Food of young Colorado squawfish consists mainly of zooplankton and insect larvae. Other fish species feed on the same food items as young-of-the-year Colorado squawfish (McAda and Tyus 1984). Fry at Willow Beach National Fish Hatchery fed on zooplankton in-fertilized raceways (Hamman 1981). Colorado squawfish become predatory at a very early age. Fish as small as 30 mm (1 in.) have been documented to eat other fish (Tyus and Karp 1991). Nearly 86 percent of the diet of juvenile Colorado squawfish is fish, with the major prey being red shiner (Cyorinella lutrensis) an introduced cyprinid (Jacobi and Jacobi 1982). Adults are almost exclusively piscivorous, feeding on most native and many introduced fishes present in the river (Vanicek and Kramer 1969). Nonnative fish presumably have entered their diet more frequently as native fishes have declined (Holden and Wick 1982). However, Beckman (1952) reported that jackrabbits and other animals were used historically by anglers as bait for Colorado squawfish. Minckley (pers. comm.) observed a large Colorado squawfish eat two newly hatched American coots (Fulica americana) at Dexter National Fish Hatchery, New Mexico. Tyus and Minckley (1988) reported four Colorado squawfish feeding on Mormon crickets in Dinosaur National Monument, Colorado, and speculated on the significance of large outbreaks of crickets as food for the native fish fauna.

Cultural, Economic, and Biological Importance

Historically, the Colorado squawfish was an important source of food for human residents. American Indians caught them along the lower Colorado River and its larger tributaries in Arizona (Miller 1955, 1961; Minckley 1965, 1973; Minckley and Alger 1968; Rostlund 1952). The species was reported from the Upper Basin as early as 1825, when Colonel W.H. Ashley's party subsisted on fish caught by angling in the Green River (Morgan 1964). Dellenbaugh (1908) also reported the capture of Colorado squawfish during the 1871 Powell expedition. Jordan (1891) identified Colorado squawfish as the largest and best food fish of the lower Colorado River. Commercial anglers operated on the lower Salt River from the time of settlement until about 1910, and within the Salt River Canyon until the 1930's and perhaps longer (Chamberlain 1904; Minckley 1965). The species was widely sought by anglers prior to its precipitous decline in the period 1930-50 in the Gila River at Dome (Richardson in Miller 1961) and Safford (Chamberlain 1904), Salt River Canyon (Dammann in Minckley 1965), and Roosevelt Lake (Frazier in Miller 1961).

Individuals weighing 2.7 to 15.4 kg (6 to 34 pounds) were commonly caught along the lower Colorado River prior to 1949 (Moffett 1942, 1943; Wallis 1951). Minckley (1973) stated, "It is notable that the name 'salmon,' in some context or alone, was used exclusively for Ptychocheilus, and that no other names for the species were known to the [12] persons interviewed." His information was collected through interviews with "old-timers" along the Gila and lower Colorado Rivers; the common name presumably was derived from the size, appearance, and palatability of the species, as well as its migratory tendency in the spring. Residents of Vernal, Utah, remarked about the former abundance of the fish, which reached sizes of 23.6 kg (52 pounds), in the Green River (Vanicek 1967). Seethaler (1978) summarized interviews with local people who reported specimens of up to 13.6 kg (30 pounds) from the upper Green River in Colorado and Wyoming. Seethaler (1978) included a report of a Colorado squawfish 1.7-1.8 m (5 1/2 to 6 feet) in length caught in 1911 just below the confluence of the Green and Yampa Rivers in Dinosaur National Monument, and included a photograph of a 11.3 kg (25 pound) adult taken in Dinosaur National Monument in 1928.

Miller (1961), Wick et al. (1981), and others reported that Colorado squawfish may have sportfishing potential. The Colorado squawfish has the potential to attain a large size, takes artificial lures readily, and has been reported as "good-eating" in historic accounts. Jhe State of Arizona and the Service are formulating plans to reintroduce and manage Colorado squawfish for sportfishing in the lower Colorado River. A fishery management plan for Kenney Reservoir on the White River in Colorado similarly includes development of an experimental sport fishery for Colorado squawfish (Martinez 1986b). Approximately 17,000 Colorado squawfish fingerlings were stocked into Kenney Reservoir in April of 1988, about 33,000 in 1989, and about 36,000 in 1990 as part of a 3-year experimental program to establish a sportfishery. The success of this stocking program will be evaluated through 1992. No further stockings are planned, pending completion of the evaluation.

Importance of Tributaries

Tributaries of the Green and Colorado Rivers may be especially important to the continued survival of the Colorado squawfish. Colorado squawfish historically or presently occupy tributaries of the Green and Colorado Rivers, including the Yampa, Duchesne, White, Gunnison, Dolores, and San Juan Rivers in the Upper Basin, the Gila River and its tributaries, the Salt, Verde, and other rivers in the Lower Basin. Tagging and telemetry studies indicate the Colorado squawfish moves long distances from spawning areas in the mainstem Green River to feeding and overwintering areas in the Yampa, White, and Duchesne Rivers (Miller et al. 1982a, 1982b, 1983; Radant et al. 1983; Jyus et al. 1982a, 1982b, 1987). Tyus (1986) suggested that tributaries play an important role in the life history strategy of the Colorado squawfish and perhaps serve to reduce intraspecific competition.

Miller et al. (1982a) reported a net movement of juveniles from the Green River to the White River, while Radant et al. (1983) documented movement of adult fish between the White and Green Rivers and Green and Yampa Rivers during the spawning season. There also is a downstream movement of larval Colorado squawfish from the Yampa River to the Green River (Haynes et al. 1984; Miller et al. 1982b; Jyus and Haines 1991). Furthermore, a net upstream movement of adult and juvenile Colorado squawfish from the Green River into the Yampa River has been suggested by Miller et al. (1982b) and Jyus (1986, 1990).

Colorado squawfish larvae have been collected from the Yampa River within Dinosaur National Monument each year during the period 1980-88 (Nesler et al. 1988; Tyus and Haines 1991; Wick et al. 1981), and spawning migrations into the lower Yampa were observed in 1981-88 (Wick et al. 1983; Tyus 1990). Holden (1980) and Jyus and Karp (1989) indicated that flows from the Yampa River were important to the recruitment of Colorado squawfish in the Green River. Analysis of the hydrographs indicates that the Yampa River is the primary contributor of high spring flows in the Green River which generally exceeded 12,000 cfs at the Jensen gage. Spring flows of the Yampa River affect the timing of Colorado squawfish spawning migrations (Tyus 1990).

Reasons for Decline

Historically, the Colorado River was characterized as a river with wide seasonal flow fluctuations (Waters 1946). It was known as Rio Colorado, the great Red River of the West. Over 2,700 km (1,687 miles) long, it is cliff bound in canyons throughout much of its course. It drops over 3.2 km (2 miles) in its journey to the Gulf of California, thereby creating some of the most turbulent waters found on earth. At Lee's Ferry, Colorado River streamflows varied from 750 cfs in 1924 to an estimated 300,000 cfs in 1884 (White and Garrett 1988). Few rivers were so laden with silt. Averaging 0.62 percent silt content by volume, it formerly carried more than 100,000 acre-feet (12,000 ha-meter) of soil to the Gulf of California each year. It also is high in mineral salts: carbonates; sulfates; and chlorides of calcium, sodium, and magnesium.

The Colorado River has changed dramatically since the turn of the century. More than 20 dams have been constructed on the mainstem and tributaries since 1913. Declines of native fishes directly downstream from reservoirs are clearly related to colder water temperatures (Vanicek et al. 1970). Other, more subtle factors include changes in stream nutrients, altered seasonal and daily discharge patterns, and lowered turbidity. Nutrients that once occurred in the rivers now are retained in the phytoplankton and zooplankton populations of reservoirs. Water from the hypolimnetic layer of deep reservoirs carries far less dissolved materials and fine particulates to fertilize downstream river reaches. Sediments are trapped by reservoirs so that downstream channel bottoms transform from sand to armored cobble and boulder. Channelization below dams has reduced the number and size of backwaters and sloughs that are sought after by Colorado squawfish and other native fishes for nursery and resting areas. The natural cycle of flood and drought is replaced by stable discharges and water levels; seasonal fluctuations are replaced by variable demands for irrigation water or hydroelectric power. This combination of factors effectively eliminated Colorado squawfish and most other native species in 105 km (65.6 miles) of the Green River below Flaming Gorge Dam (Vanicek and Kramer 1969; Vanicek et al. 1970), caused vast biological modification in essentially the entire 389-km (243-mile) reach of the Colorado River mainstem in Marble and Grand canyons below Glen Canyon Dam (Carothers and Minckley 1981), and resulted in the exclusion of most warm-water fishes, both native and introduced, from long reaches of the Colorado below Davis Dam (Minckley 1979).

Specific streamflows and water temperatures are particularly important for young Colorado squawfish. Representative shallow, ephemeral backwater and shoreline habitats in the Green River, have been seined from 1979-88 to determine the growth and relative abundance of larval Colorado squawfish (Tyus and Haines 1991). The lowest relative larval growth and fish abundance were observed in 1983 and 1984, and were correlated with abnormally high summer flows from Flaming Gorge Dam that inundated nursery habitat (Tyus and Haines 1991). Streamflow modifications below major Federal reservoirs are currently being evaluated by the Bureau of Reclamation and the Service to determine the relationship between flows and survival of young Colorado squawfish.

Kaeding and Osmundson (1988) provided data indicating a relationship between slow growth of Colorado squawfish in the Colorado River above Lake Powell and the limited availability of warm water temperatures to support growth. They suggested that slow growth decreases reproductive potential by lengthening the time it takes an individual to reach sexual maturity. Also, this long growth period may increase the susceptibility of young Colorado squawfish to mortality. During recent times, mortality rates have probably increased due to habitat changes and the competition by nonnative fish species.

Higher spring flows may be beneficial to Colorado squawfish and detrimental to introduced fishes. Wick et al. (1983) suggested a relationship between spring flows and fish abundance in the Yampa River. Catch rates of young squawfish in the Colorado River are lower in years of low spring flow while numbers of introduced minnows greatly increase (Osmundson and Kaeding 1989; McAda and Kaeding 1989). Higher spring flows also may provide terrestrial food for

Colorado squawfish (Tyus 1986; Jyus and Karp 1989). Minckley and Meffe (1987) reported that native fishes in the American Southwest are favored by flooding in streams. Periodic high flows in six unregulated Arizona streams reduced the number of sunfish and catfish, while native fishes were almost unaffected.

The introduction of nonnative fishes also is implicated in the decline of the Colorado squawfish. The quiet waters of the first reservoirs constructed in the Lower Basin were inhabited initially by native fishes, including Colorado squawfish. Substantial catches of Colorado squawfish were made from Roosevelt Lake from 1913 through 1937 (Frazier in Miller 1961), and mainstem reservoirs on the lower Colorado River yielded Colorado squawfish of considerable size (Dill 1944; Wallis 1951) until the 1960's (Minckley and Deacon 1968). By the time lakes Roosevelt and Mead were filled, however, impounded waters became populated by a variety of introduced species (Minckley 1973) whose range expanded rapidly as additional reservoirs were built. In Arizona, about 28 fresh-water and 3 salt-water native species have been joined since the turn of the century by at least 60 introduced fishes (Minckley 1973). Of 55 fish species currently found in the Upper Basin, 42 were introduced (Tyus et al. 1982b). In the 1960's, programs were undertaken in various locations in the Colorado River to eradicate or reduce fish populations to improve opportunities for establishment of trout. During these eradication programs numerous Colorado squawfish along with other native and nonnative fish were killed (John Hamill, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 1991, pers. comm.).

Introduced fish may have subjected Colorado squawfish to biological interactions to which the latter were poorly adapted due to their previous isolation (Molles 1980). In this respect, the Colorado squawfish may be comparable to some geographically isolated island faunas that were quickly decimated by competition or predation with nonnative species (Molles 1980). Mortality as a result of Colorado squawfish choking, when preying on channel catfish, has been discussed by McAda (1983), Pimental et al. (1985), and others. The nature of interaction among native and introduced fish species is not well known. However, Karp and Tyus (1990) reported that age-0 Colorado squawfish may be negatively affected by small, nonnative fishes, particularly red shiner, flathead minnow, and green sunfish. Haines and Jyus (1990) found that age-0 Colorado squawfish were sympatric with 15 nonnative fishes, including red shiner and flathead minnow. They detected no segregation in habitat use between Colorado squawfish and nonnative fishes.

Loss of fish habitat and habitat fragmentation due to stream blockage could pose a threat to the recovery effort and has been implicated in the systematic loss of the fish. Sheldon (1988) found that alterations of river drainages by fragmentation leads to a reduction of species diversity and species extinction. In the upper Colorado River, blockage of the Gunnison and upper mainstream by diversion dams have no doubt restricted access of the fish to presumed habitats (Valdez et al. 1982a). Construction of Flaming Gorge Dam inundated spawning habitats and blocked upstream passage. Construction of Taylor Draw Dam on the White River has blocked the movement of upstream, return migrants (Martinez 1986a) and resulted in a loss of about 80 km (50 miles) of adult habitat from which mature fish contributed to the spawning aggregations in both Gray and Yampa canyons (Tyus et al. 1987). Fragmentation of the rivers in the Lower Basin undoubtedly restricted movement over much of the historic range of the Colorado squawfish.

In September 1962, the area of the Green River now impounded by Flaming Gorge Dam was treated with rotenone in order to establish more favorable conditions for game fish species. This poisoning effectively eliminated Colorado squawfish in the reservoir basin, and an accident occurred in which a small proportion of the toxic substance apparently travelled downstream past a detoxification station to the vicinity of Dinosaur National Monument. Banks (1964) noted a significant reduction in the number of species captured at four stations within Dinosaur National Monument shortly after the accidental treatment. The greatest reduction appeared to occur at the Gates of Lodore in the northern and most upstream portion of Dinosaur National Monument, while the least impact was noted at a downstream site. However, Binns et al. (1963) concluded that little long-term impact to the fish species composition had resulted from the treatment.

Colorado squawfish have disappeared from areas upstream of reservoirs (e.g., Salt River above Roosevelt Lake, Arizona; Green River above Fontenelle and Flaming Gorge dams, Wyoming; San Juan River above Navajo Reservoir, New Mexico) and in reaches that appear little changed from predevelopment conditions. Reasons for these disappearances or declines are not fully understood, but probably involve subtle changes in habitat, competition and predation from introduced species, blockage of spawning migrations, lack of suitable spawning habitat, post-dam eradication programs, and/or loss of adult fish from angling. In summary, the absolute cause for the decline of Colorado squawfish is not fully understood but is probably related to a combination of factors, including direct loss of habitat, changes in flow and temperature, blockage of migration routes, and interactions with introduced, fish species.

Sensitive Areas and Priority Recovery Areas

Sensitive Areas

The Biological Subcommittee of the Upper Colorado River Coordinating Committee developed criteria and prepared a list of sensitive areas depicting the location of important spawning, nursery, juvenile, and adult habitats, both past and present, in the Upper Basin (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1987) (Figure 3). The Recovery Jeam has adopted this report and has recommended that a similar document based on appropriate criteria be developed for the Lower Basin. Because Colorado squawfish have been extirpated from the Lower Basin, criteria would be established primarily to identify priority areas for research and recovery.

Priority Areas for Recovery

The highest priority Colorado squawfish recovery areas occur in the Upper Basin, and include, in order of importance, the Green, Colorado, and San Juan subbasins. The Green River subbasin includes the mainstem Green, Yampa, Little Snake, White, and Duchesne Rivers. The Colorado River subbasin includes the Gunnison and the Dolores Rivers, and the San Juan subbasin includes the Animas River. The Green River subbasin contains the largest and most viable population of squawfish in the Colorado River basin (Tyus 1991b). As such, the Green River and its tributaries constitute the highest priority site for recovery and maintenance of Colorado squawfish.

Fewer squawfish are found in the Colorado River. However, occurrence of adult and larval fish has been documented annually since at least 1979 (Valdez et al. 1982b). Recovery of the species in the Colorado subbasin requires the protection of the Colorado River. If stocking proves to be a successful technique, then augmentation of existing populations in tile Colorado River may be necessary to provide sufficient numbers of fish for successful reproduction and effective management.

Recent studies indicate that the San Juan River contains a small, reproducing population of Colorado squawfish. This river will be especially important if recovery efforts fail on the Colorado or portions of the Green Rivers. Augmentation of existing populations of Colorado squawfish in the San Juan River also may be necessary to provide sufficient numbers of fish for successful reproduction and effective management.

Stocking of fish in the San Juan subbasin or in the Green/Upper Colorado subbasin should only be done after the genetics are documented (to determine if a number of genetically separate populations are present) and if it is determined that the current population is not viable. If there are genetically separable populations, then broodstcck should be taken from the resident population and young reared for eventua? reintroduction into the resident reach. However, such stocking should occur only in accordance with an integrated management and restoration plan.

The Lower Basin no longer has naturally occurring Colorado squawfish. Much of the habitat in the Lower Basin has been severely altered and contains relatively large populations of introduced fishes. Of the remaining habitat in the Lower Basin, the upper Verde and upper Salt Rivers are most pristine, and thus offer the best opportunities for reestablishment of Colorado squawfish. Colorado squawfish in those rivers have been designated nonessential experimental populations (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1985). In addition, there is an active proposal by the Service to reintroduce Colorado squawfish into the mainstem Colorado River between Parker and Imperial dams and designate them a nonessential experimental population. The nonessential experimental designation allows stocked fish to be treated as threatened species. For purposes of Section 7, any experimental population occurring within a National Park or National Wildlife Refuge is treated as threatened. Outside of National Parks or National Wildlife Refuges, any experimental population is treated as a proposed species for Section 7 purposes.

Lower Basin rivers also provide opportunities to conduct valuable research and experimentation that may not be feasible or desirable in the Upper Basin. Ongoing stocking and monitoring efforts by Lower Basin researchers could lead to a better understanding of the habitat requirements, homing behavior, and factors limiting Colorado squawfish survival. These activities will add to our understanding of squawfish biology and support recovery efforts throughout the Colorado River basin.



FIGURE 3. Colorado Squawfish sensitive areas identified by the Upper Colorado River Coordinating Committee (1987). (San Juan River information provided by Utah and New Mexico.)

PART II
RECOVERY

Objective

To recover the Colorado squawfish in three major recovery areas: the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins; the San Juan River subbasin; and the Lower Basin by establishing naturally self-sustaining populations in each of these areas. Quantitative criteria for defining self-sustaining populations will be determined in the future'pending evaluation of information on population viability.

It is important to the recovery and long-term survival of the Colorado squawfish to maintain and protect sufficient numbers in enough areas to protect against catastrophic loss (disease, pollution, etc.) that could occur in any one area or affect any one population. Inclusion of the San Juan River subbasin as a Recovery Area is considered necessary because it provides an additional population that will help prevent extinction of the species given a catastrophic loss of the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasin and because it may represent a separate genetic stock. Inclusion of streams in the Lower Basin also will provide protection against catastrophic loss if it is determined feasible to reestablish populations there.

The Colorado squawfish can be downlisted or delisted by population or Recovery Area. Reclassifying a population or Recovery Area in this way means that the species will be treated as threatened (in the case of downlisting) or will no longer be considered a listed species (in the case of delisting) only within the reclassified Recovery Area; other Recovery Areas are unaffected.

If one or more populations remain listed as endangered or threatened any other delisted populations may be identified as being protected under Similarity of Appearance rules. Similarity of Appearance means that take of individuals from delisted populations may still be regulated, in order to protect individuals of the same or similar species occurring in populations that are still listed as endangered or threatened. Other requirements of the Endangered Species Act do not apply to delisted populations under Similarity of Appearance rules, therefore, proposed actions affecting delisted populations under Similarity of Appearance rules are not required to undergo Section 7 consultations.

For the purposes of these recovery objectives, Colorado squawfish inhabiting the Green River subbasin and the Upper Colorado River subbasin will be treated as one population and one recovery area because of the potential for fish interchange between the two subbasins and the potential value of either subbasin serving to augment the populations in the other. Therefore, any reclassification actions (downlisting or delisting) will be done simultaneously on these two subbasins.

At present, there is no information indicating a possible link between Colorado squawfish inhabiting the San Juan subbasin and Coiorado squawfish inhabiting the Upper Colorado/Green River subbasin; therefore, downlisting and delisting actions in these subbasins are not linked.. Such actions may proceed independently as appropriate criteria are met in either of the subbasins.

Currently, any populations of the Colorado squawfish occurring in the Lower Basin (in the Salt or Verde Rivers) have been designated as nonessential experimental populations and are by regulation treated as threatened.

Downlistino Criteria

1. Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins.

Colorado squawfish in the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins will be considered eligible for reclassification to threatened when naturally self-sustaining populations are maintained in:

(a) the Green River subbasin including the Green River from its confluence with the Colorado River upstream to its confluence with the Yampa River, the lower 220 km (137.5 miles) of the Yampa River, and the lower 240 km (150 miles) of the White River; and'

(b) the Colorado River from Lake Powell upstream to Palisade, Colorado.

2 San Juan River subbasin.

Colorado squawfish in the San Juan River subbasin will be considered eligible for reclassification to threatened when;

(a) a naturally self-sustaining population is maintained in the San Juan River from Lake Powell upstream to the confluence of the Animas River near Farmington, New Mexico.

Delisting Criteria

1. Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins.

Colorado squawfish will be considered eligible for delisting in the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins when:

(a) downlisting criteria have been met in the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins;

(b) the threat of significant fragmentation (e.g., fragmentation that would impair the reproductive success of the population or limit/impact the adult population size) is removed or alleviated;

(c) essential habitats, primary migration routes, required streamflows, and necessary water quality are legally protected; and

(d) other identifiable threats, if any, which may significantly affect the population are removed.

2. San Juan River subbasin.

Colorado squawfish in the San Juan River subbasin will be considered eligible for delisting when:

(a) downlisting criteria have been met in the San Juan River subbasin; and

(b) the criteria listed in (b) through (d) for the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins also have been met in the San Juan River subbasin.

3. Lower Basin.

The population of Colorado squawfish in the Lower Basin will be considered eligible for delisting when:

(a) the populations in the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins and the San Juan River subbasin have been delisted;

(b) a population in either the Salt River from a diversion dam upstream of Roosevelt Lake to Apache Falls or in the Verde River from Horseshoe Reservoir upstream to Paulden, Arizona, is reestablished and habitats and streamflows are legally protected. Feasibility of this effort will be reevaluated at the conclusion of the 1995 Lower Basin agreement. At that time the need for inclusion of these areas in the delisting criteria will be reconsidered; and

(c) the criteria listed in (b) through (d) of the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins have been met in the Lower Basin.

The estimated date for achieving recovery in the Green River/Upper Colorado River subbasins, as identified in the Upper Basin Recovery Implementation Program, is 2003. A recovery date for the San Juan River subbasin and the Lower Basin will be established during the development of recovery programs for those basins.

These recovery criteria are preliminary and may be revised on the basis of new information from population viability analyses or information on population or habitat status in either the Upper Basin or Lower Basin. Radiotelemetry studies of Colorado squawfish are continuing, and if additional information indicates a link between Colorado squawfish inhabiting the San Juan River with Colorado squawfish inhabiting the Upper Colorado, then revision of these recovery criteria will likely be needed.

Steodown Outline

1. Monitor population status and define the life history reauirements of the Colorado sauawfish.

   11. Monitor Colorado squawfish populations.

      111. Compile and analyze population data.

      112. Develop standardized monitoring procedures.

      113. Determine population status and trends.

   12. Research and expand the life history information.

      121. Refine information related to life history/spawning and recruitment requirements.

      122. Assess inter-/intraspecific interactions.

      123. Develop aging techniques and determine age distribution and growth rates.

      124. Identify cues for and importance of migration.

   13. Develop and implement standardized procedures for data collection, management, and analysis.

   14. Develop annual work plans for high priority research and monitoring activities for interagency review.

2. Develop and implement management plans to protect and maintain Colorado sauawfish oooulations and their habitat.

   21. Determine threats to and protect Colorado squawfish populations and their habitat.

      211. Monitor and assess the impact of proposed development projects.

      212. Identify and assess the impacts of introduced nonnative species which compete with or impact the Colorado squawfish.

      213. Monitor the extent of parasitism and disease in the Colorado squawfish.

214. Determine effects of environmental contaminants on Colorado squawfish and their habitat.

22. Refine and enforce existing laws and regulations protecting the Colorado squawfish.

221. Inform appropriate agencies of their enforcement responsibilities.

222. Ensure compliance with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act by all Federal Agencies.

223. Assess effectiveness of current regulations/management and draft additional regulations or increase protection and enforcement as needed.

224. Discontinue or prevent introductions of nonnative fish species which have a negative impact on the Colorado squawfish.

225. Minimize incidental take of all life stages of Colorado squawfish, especially that associated with sportfishing, seining for bait, and stranding in irrigation ditches.

23. Identify and monitor all essential habitat.

231. Conduct field investigations to locate and further define sensitive habitat (i.e., spawning and rearing areas, etc.).

232. Determine biological, chemical, and physical components for critical habitat type.

233. Define flow, temperature, and substrate requirements.

234. Establish criteria to identify suitable habitat (i.e., timing, duration, and microhabitat).

24. Manage and restore primary Colorado squawfish habitat.

241. Assess impacts of existing water development projects and make recommendations to improve habitat conditions for Colorado squawfish.

242. Evaluate fish passage as a method to restore use by and movement of Colorado squawfish within their former range where dams now restrict movement.

243. Determine effectiveness of enhancing Colorado squawfish spawning and rearing success through habitat improvement.

244. Ensure that essential habitats, migration routes, streamflow, and adequate water quality are legally protected.

25. Develop and implement cooperative interagency programs to protect and recover the Colorado squawfish.

3. Reintroduce Colorado squawfish into their historic range.

31. Develop capabilities to produce adequate numbers of Colorado squawfish for research and management.

311. Develop or improve propagation, holding, and rearing techniques to optimize production.

312. Maintain a diversified gene pool.

32. Conduct reintroduction programs in Lower Basin.

321. Identify areas for reintroduction/augmentation.

322. Restore or prepare stocking sites as needed.

323. Stock and monitor reintroduced/stocked populations.

33. Conduct augmentation/reintroduction program in the Upper basin.

331. Assess the role of artificial propagation of Colorado squawfish in providing fish for research and for augmentation stocking.

332. Conduct reintroduction/augmentation programs.

4. Promote and encourage improved communication and information dissemination.

41. Conduct nationwide and basinwide information and education programs.

42. Conduct local information and education programs.

421. Minimize incidental take of squawfish through information and education programs.

422. Assess the sportfishery potential for Colorado squawfish.

43. Promote information and education programs within management agencies.

44. Encourage and support publication of research and other recovery results in the technical literature.

5. Determine biological criteria/objectives for downlisting/delisting the Colorado squawfish.

51. Define naturally self-sustaining populations.

52. Establish quantifiable objectives for downlisting and delisting.

Narrative

1. Monitor population status and define the life history requirements of the Colorado squawfish.

11. Monitor Colorado squawfish populations.

Intensive field investigations have been conducted to locate Colorado squawfish populations in most of the known occupied habitat. Reaches should be identified for long-term monitoring of important life stages of Colorado squawfish.

111. Compile and analyze population data.

Information on population abundance, distribution, migration, and other general biological information should be compiled and evaluated to: (a) identify index monitoring sites; and (b) determine baseline population status and trends at the index monitoring sites.

112. Develop standardized monitoring procedures.

Standardized procedures should be developed by an interagency group to ensure that efficient and compatible monitoring procedures are used throughout the Colorado River basin. Monitoring procedures including electrofishing, handling, tagging, and larval fish sampling should be evaluated for impacts to squawfish populations.

113. Determine population status and trends.

An intensive monitoring program using procedures developed in Task 112 should be conducted to determine population status over time (i.e., identify age classes, hatching and rearing success, relative abundance, etc.).

12. Research and expand the life history information.

Important aspects of the life history of the Colorado squawfish will be described. Efforts should be made to maximize scientific use of fish. All fish mortalities will be sent to the Service facility at Fort Collins, Colorado; Arizona State University; or other suitable facility as determined by the Service for cataloging and storage.

121. Refine information related to life history/spawning and recruitment requirements.

Additional life history information should be collected to determine critical or limiting life stages of the Colorado squawfish. Major emphasis should focus on better understanding the factors affecting spawning, larval and young-of-the-year transport, and recruitment success. Priority studies include:

(a) determining the relationship between larval abundance and young-of-the-year abundance and recruitment; (b) determining the effects of streamflow, water temperature, and predation on recruitment; and (c) roles of chemoreception and imprinting on recruitment: and (d) use and movement of Colorado squawfish in the inflow regions of the Colorado and San Juan river arms of Lake Powell.

In addition, the sensitive areas for the Upper Basin should be refined periodically to reflect important habitats for Colorado squawfish. The sensitive area concept also should be expanded to the Lower Basin using new or refined criteria.

122. Assess inter-/intraspecific interactions.

Determine the interaction between individual Colorado squawfish and between Colorado squawfish and other species which may lead to competition, displacement, and predation.

123. Develop aging techniques and determine age distribution and growth rates.

Techniques for aging specimens are being developed. Reliable aging techniques for live fish are needed and should be developed. Total length and weight records should be maintained by all monitoring agencies.

124. Identify cues for and importance of miaration.

Migration routes and patterns have been determined through radiotelemetry and by monitoring tag returns in the Green and Upper Colorado Rivers. Additional work to determine migration and movements in the San Juan River are needed. Cues affecting migration should be identified and their relative importance estimated.

13. Develop and implement standardized procedures for data collection, manasement, and analysis.

To ensure that data collected by all cooperating management agencies are comparable and accessible, a standardized program for data collection, management, analysis, and dissemination is required.

14. Develoo annual work plans for high priority research and monitoring activities for interasency review.

Annual meetings should be conducted to review the overall status of recovery efforts in both Upper and Lower Basins. Each agency should prepare a report of its recovery efforts for the annual meeting. For effective implementation of recovery activities, work plans need to be developed-and revised annually by involved agencies.

2. Develop and implement management plans to protect and maintain Colorado sauawfish populations and their habitat.

Areas supporting existing populations should be protected under the Endanaered Species Act. Federal and State agencies should ensure that existing sensitive Colorado squawfish habitats a:-e maintained. This includes legal provision for adequate streamflow and temperature regimes, water quality, and physical characteristics. Primary habitats wi 11 be selectively monitored until the species is delisted. Monitoring should continue after delisting to ensure habitat and population stabili ty.

21. Determine threats to and protect Colorado sauawfish populations and their habitat.

An assessment of threats facing Colorado squawfish and their potential impacts on the species and its habitat should be made. Once this information is known, management and protective regulations can be revised or applied as needed.

211. Monitor and assess the impact of development projects.

Ongoing or proposed water development or related projects should be monitored/evaluated to determine their effects on squawfish populations and their habitat in terms of flow, temperature, and water quality changes (e.g., turbidity, salinity, environmental contaminants).

212. Identify and assess the impacts of introduced nonnative species which compete with or impact the Colorado sauawfish.

Studies should be conducted in conjunction with Task 122 to determine the impact of competition, predation, and displacement by nonnative species on the Colorado squawfish and the extent to which this is influencing Colorado squawfish distribution and abundance. Potential beneficial effects of nonnative species as forage also should be determined.

213. Monitor the extent of parasitism and disease in the Colorado souawfish.

Although cursory investigations have not indicated that disease or parasitism presently pose a serious threat to Colorado squawfish populations, information obtained through monitoring of wild populations should be evaluated to determine if additional study is needed.

214. Determine effects of environmental contaminants on Colorado sauawfish and their habitat.

Identify point and nonpoint sources of environmental contaminants/pollutants that may affect Colorado squawfish and

their habitat. Determine concentration levels of environmental contaminants in Colorado squawfish and their effect on growth, reproduction, and survival. Take appropriate action to eliminate sources of environmental contaminants that pose a threat to the recovery of the Colorado squawfish.

22. Refine and enforce existing laws and regulations protecting the Colorado squawfish.

The purpose of this task is to maintain Colorado squawfish populations by preventing any further degradation of essential habitat.

221. Inform appropriate agencies of their enforcement responsibilities.

All agencies should be made aware of their responsibilities regarding the laws protecting listed species and their habitats (e.g., Endangered Species Act, Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, or Lacey Act). Agencies should be kept current on all laws and regulations or revisions that would change agency responsibility.

222. Ensure compliance with Section 7 of the Endansered Species Act by all Federal Agencies.

Federal Agencies should comply with Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act and consult with the Service on any project involving Federal permits, monies, etc., which may affect the Colorado squawfish. Section 7 consultation on such projects should help in ensuring that the ecological requirements of the squawfish are maintained and further impacts minimized.

223. Assess effectiveness of current regulations/management and draft additional resulations or increase protection and enforcement as needed.

Current management practices and protection or enforcement activities should be monitored to determine their effectiveness in conserving the species.

224. Discontinue or prevent introductions of nonnative fish species which have a negative impact on the Colorado sauawfish.

Stocking of nonnative species which compete with the Colorado squawfish should be discontinued until it is demonstrated that such introductions will not have a negative impact on the Colorado squawfish (see Task 212). A cooperative agreement should be initiated by the Service and the States of Arizona, California, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming to prohibit introduction of nonnative fishes that might further endanger the Colorado squawfish or jeopardize its recovery.

225. Minimize incidental take of all life stages of Colorado squawfish, especially that associated with sportfishing, seining for bait, and stranding in irrigation ditches.

Recent evidence suggests that angling and the use of live resident fishes as bait may promote the "take" of Colorado squawfish or expand the range of nonnative species. State laws and regulations related to the use of live fish as bait, bait seining, and angling should be reviewed and changed to minimize the incidental take of Colorado squawfish. Fishing regulations, tackle restrictions, and seasonal temporary and permanent angling closures should be implemented as appropriate by State wildlife management agencies. Fishing, with appropriate restrictions, also may be useful as a monitoring tool and should be evaluated as needed. The diversion of Colorado squawfish into irrigation ditches and their subsequent stranding contributes to overall plight of the species. Although the extent of such loss is not known, investigations should be carried out into methods that might assess and minimize such impact.

23. Identify and monitor all sensitive habitat. .

Although sensitive areas have been identified in portions of the Upper Basin, investigations should be conducted to determine the critical components of sensitive habitat. State or Federal agencies, including the Arizona Game and Fish Department, the Colorado Division of Wildlife, the New Mexico Department of Game and Fish, the Service, and the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, should participate in or supervise habitat monitoring.

231. Conduct field investiaations to locate and further define sensitive habitat (i.e., soawninq and rearing areas, etc.).

Field investigations must be continued to refine information on spawning areas, migration routes, etc., so that information on ecological requirements can be obtained. Primary emphasis should be directed toward areas that have not been intensely studied in the past (e.g., San Juan River, Lower Basin).

232.. Determine biological, chemical, and physical components for sensitive habitat types.

Continue studies to refine the ecological requirements for the different life stages of the Colorado squawfish. This would include the collection of data on substrate, hydraulic characteristics, water temperatures, isolating factors, salinity and environmental contaminant levels, and other essential components of the habitat.

233. Define flow, temperature, and substrate requirements.

Collect and evaluate microhabitat data to refine depth, velocity, substrate, cover, temperature, and other important requirements of Colorado squawfish. Develop quantitative relationships between flow and habitat at important sensitive areas. Relate changes in flow and habitat to changes in populations of Colorado squawfish.

234. Establish criteria to identify suitable habitat (i.e., timing, duration, and microhabitat).

Using information gained through implementation of Tasks 231, 232, and 233, a set of criteria should be developed for use in identifying suitable potential habitat. The criteria should be developed based on a comparative analysis of important habitat features in the San Juan, Green, and Upper Colorado River subbasins, including water quality, gradient, availability of backwaters, spatial distribution of key habitat types, water volume, exotic fish abundance/composition, shape of the hydrograph, etc.

24. Manage and restore primary Colorado squawfish habitat.

Techniques for restoring historic migratory and primary habitat must be developed which would include restoration of water flows and physical requirements for squawfish. Once such restoration methods are developed, they can be implemented as needed.

241. Assess impacts of existing water development projects and make recommendations to improve habitat conditions for Colorado squawfish.

Continued monitoring of ongoing water development projects is essential to accurately evaluate cumulative effects of habitat degradation and to apply effective management techniques. Operating plans should be developed for the Flaming Gorge, Blue Mesa, and Navajo projects to protect and recover Colorado squawfish in the Green, Colorado, and San Juan Rivers.

242. Evaluate fish passage as a method to restore use by and movement of Colorado squawfish within their former range where dams now restrict movement.

Through the use of radiotelemetry and other techniques, the migration of Colorado squawfish and some of the factors influencing their migration patterns in the Upper Basin have been determined. The biological merits of providing unrestricted passage to historic habitats in the Upper Basin (e.g., the White River above Taylor Draw Dam, the Gunnison River above the Redlands diversion, etc.) should be evaluated.

In addition, tests should be conducted to determine the ability of Colorado squawfish t.o negotiate various fish passage devices (e.g., ladders or elevators). The feasibility of providing passage around low or moderately high dams should be determined.

243. Determine effectiveness of enhancing Colorado squawfish soawninq and rearinq success throuqh habitat improvement.

Studies need to be conducted to determine if alteration or improvement of physical habitat, streamflows, or water quality and other important parameters of squawfish habitat will enhance recovery.

244. Ensure that essential habitats, migration routes, streamflow, and adequate water quality are legally protected.

Through the application of appropriate State and Federal laws, purchase of water rights, formal agreements, Memorandums of Agreement, and the provisions of the Endangered Species Act, ensure that essential habitats, migration routes, and physical habitat characteristics for Colorado squawfish are legally protected. All strategies to protect the species' habitat should be investigated. Private conservation groups as well as State and Federal agencies should direct their efforts toward this goal. Quantification of the amount and timing of flows will depend on identifying the species' habitat requirements. Adequate streamflows to provide habitat for the Colorado squawfish will have to be protected on a long-term basis.

25. Develop and implement cooperative interagency orosrams to protect and recover the Colorado sauawfish.

A major cooperative effort to recover endangered fish species in the Upper Basin (excluding the San Juan River drainage) was initiated in August 1984. This resulted in the establishment of the "Recovery Implementation Program for Endangered Fish Species in the Upper Colorado River Basin." A cooperative agreement signed in January 1988 by the Governors of Colorado, Wyoming, and Utah; the Secretary of the Interior; and the Administrator of the Western Area Power Administration formally implemented the program and created a lo-member committee to oversee it. Five basic recovery elements are identified: (1) provision for instream flows; (2) habitat development and maintenance; (3) rearing and stocking of native fish; (4) management of nonnative species and sportfishing; and (5) research, monitoring, and data management. The projected annual budget for the Recovery Program is $2.3 million, and sources of funds will include Federal and State governments, power and water users, and private donations. A $10 million fund will be requested of Congress for purchase of water rights to acquire and/or protect instream flows, and another $5 million will be requested for

construction of facilities such as a hatchery, fish passageways, etc. Private entities proposing water projects will support the program by providing a one-time contribution of $10 per acre-foot of the average annual depletion of the project.

The Recovery Program (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1987) is intended to provide for the coordinated implementation of the Service's recovery plans for the endangered bonytail chub, humpback chub, Colorado squawfish, and the proposed endangered razorback sucker in the Upper Basin (excluding the San Juan River). Therefore, the Recovery Program will be considered a stepdown effort of this recovery plan and become the primary mechanism for implementing the recovery plan in the Upper Basin.

A recovery implementation program for the Colorado squawfish and the razorback sucker is currently being developed for the San Juan River by the Service in coordination with appropriate Federal and State agencies, Indian tribes, environmental groups, and water development interests. This will be the primary mechanism for implementing this recovery plan in the San Juan River.

An additional cooperative interagency plan for recovery actions for these endangered fish in the Lower Basin is being planned. When completed, this Lower Basin Recovery Action Plan will be considered the Lower Basin stepdown effort of this recovery plan and will be the primary mechanism for implementing this recovery plan in the Lower Basin. The Service should ensure that the Upper Basin Recovery Program, the San Juan Recovery Program, and the Lower Basin Recovery Action Plan currently being developed are fully coordinated.

3. Reintroduce Colorado squawfish into their historic range.

Colorado squawfish are now being reintroduced into unoccupied habitat areas in the Lower Basin with highest recovery potential (i.e., the Salt River, the Verde River, and the Lower Colorado River). Based on research accomplished in Task 331, augmentation of Colorado squawfish in the upper Colorado River and San Juan River subbasins may be needed to support research and recovery activities. Studies on age class structure, distribution, and creel census will determine success of the stocking program. Results of these studies will help to determine future stocking requirements.

All fish stocked in the Upper Basin will be marked before release into the wild, consistent with ongoing efforts. Restocked areas will be sampled by standard fishery techniques to assess survival, growth, etc. Followup stockings in reintroduction sites should be based on monitoring results to determine if initial stocking is contributing to the reestablishment of a self-sustaining population.

31. Develop capabilities to produce adequate numbers of Colorado squawfish for research and management.

Produce an adequate supply of genetically diverse and disease-free Colorado squawfish to support research, recovery, and reintroduction efforts and to maintain a refugium population.

311. Develop or improve propagation, holding, and rearing techniques to optimize production.

Additional information on propagation, rearing, and holding techniques must be developed to optimize production. Methods to induce maturation of gonads have been developed. However, there is a need to determine optimum loading capacities of holding/rearing facilities for different sizes of fish. Additional production and rearing capability should be developed to meet anticipated needs, but emphasis should be placed on maximizing the use of existing capabilities (e.g., Dexter and Willow Beach National Fish Hatcheries, State facilities).

312. Maintain a diversified sene pool.

Studies should be undertaken to determine whether significant genetic differences exist among fish from different subbasins and to determine the number of brood fish needed to provide natural genetic diversity for at least 20 generations. If little or no genetic impact is indicated, hatchery broodstock will be supplemented as necessary with wild fish or gametes from wild fish of different rivers to maintain genetic diversity.

32. Conduct reintroduction programs in the Lower Basin.

Reintroduction programs have been initiated in the Lower Basin. Because there are no existing populations in the Lower Basin, reintroduction is the only potential method for reestablishing Colorado Squawfish populations.

321. Identify areas for reintroduction/augmentation.

An evaluation of each potential reintroduction site will be conducted based on information gathered in Tasks 231, 232, and 233. Primary candidate sites for reintroduction are in the Lower Basin. Fish stocked in the Lower Basin in the Salt and Verde Rivers have been designated as nonessential experimental populations.

322. Restore or prepare stocking sites as needed.

Habitat enhancement should be considered based on the results of Task 243. Improvements could include physical habitat modifications such as addition of large boulders for cover or the creation of side channels and backwaters, as well as biological modifications such as eradication of nonnative species or a moratorium on stocking nonnative species where Colorado squawfish recovery activities will be initiated.

323. Stock and monitor'reintroduced/stocked populations.

Stock specific reintroduction sites identified in Task 321. Stocking is planned to continue in the Sait and Verde Rivers through 1995. At least annual monitoring of stocked areas should be conducted to determine survival, movement, and habitat selection of the stocked fish, plus other attributes of the ecosystem such as relative abundance of fish species encountered. After the stocking period, the success of the program will be evaluated and recommendations for further recovery efforts in the Lower Basin may be formulated. Regular monitoring will determine if it is contributing to the establishment of a self-sustaining population.

33. Conduct augmentation/reintroduction rrogram in the Upper Basin.

Colorado squawfish populations occur in several reaches of Upper Basin rivers. Stocking programs will need to be evaluated to determine if they will contribute to reproduction and establishment of self-sustaining populations. If so, programs will be initiated to augment existing populations and reestablish populations in reaches where Colorado squawfish are absent.

331. Assess the role of artificial propagation of Colorado sauawfish in providing fish for research and for augmentation stocking.

Some basic questions about size at stocking, habitat use, interspecific competition, olfactory cues and imprinting, and reproductive success must be answered to determine the feasibility of stocking artificially propagated Colorado squawfish. This may require carefully planned experimental stocking. Questions associated with artificial propagation include size, capacity, location, etc., of facilities needed to rear Colorado squawfish for research and for stocking.

332. Conduct reintroduction/augmentation programs.

If stocking of captive-reared Colorado squawfish is determined to be feasible in successfully restoring or augmenting self-sustaining populations of Colorado squawfish, then efforts to initiate stocking programs will begin. This will include

identification of appropriate stocking sites, development of stocking plans at each site, restoration or preparation of stocking sites, and implementation of monitoring of stocking programs.

4. Promote and encourage improved communication and information dissemination.

Information and education programs should be implemented at local, regional, and national levels to focus on the value of the Colorado squawfish as an endemic natural resource. An active effort will be made by the Service and State agencies to inform the public of recovery activities and the eventual sportfishing potential of Colorado squawfish.

Inter- and intra-agency communications, the sharing of information, and the education of the public about the goals, objectives, methods, and benefits of the recovery.program are essential for a successful program.

41. Conduct nationwide information and education programs.

Conduct a national campaign to inform the public of the need to recover the Colorado squawfish. News of restoration efforts should be published in the Service's Endangered Species Technical Bulletin. Also, national environmental groups, newspapers, and the media should be contacted and encouraged to promote the value of recovering the Colorado squawfish.

42. Conduct local information and education orosrams.

All State wildlife agencies should continue to develop and provide leaflets for use by the local chapters of sportsmen and environmental groups, river runners, newspapers, and the media. Efforts should focus on recent investigations, problems facing the squawfish, and recovery efforts. The ecological value of the Colorado squawfish as an endemic species should be emphasized.

421. Minimize incidental take of sauawfish throush information and education prosrams.

Specific measures to minimize take may include: (a) education at the time of license purchase, including identification of the species and information on penalties for taking Colorado squawfish; (b) increased contact of anglers by Federal and State enforcement and management personnel; or (c) posting of signs at high concentration angler use areas.

422. Assess the sportfisherv potential for Colorado sauawfish.

One way to gain support for recovery programs would be generating interest in and support for a Colorado squawfish sportfishery. An assessment of the squawfish as a sport fish

and the public's potential acceptance of the program should be determined. The Service, in cooperation with State agencies, has attempted to establish experimental Colorado squawfish sportfisheries in Kenney Reservoir on the White River and the Colorado River below Headgate Rock Dam. If feasible, additional locations for establishing sportfisheries, such as in National Recreation Areas, may be evaluated.

43. Promote information and education programs within management agencies.

Increase awareness among agency personnel regarding squawfish identification, importance, role in the ecosystem, etc., and the agency responsibility to aid in the recovery effort.

44. Encourage and support publication of research and other recovery results in the technical literature.

All participating agencies and their contractors should encourage publication of research findings in technical literature. These agencies should provide support by funding printing or other necessary logistical support.

5. Determine biological criteria/objectives for downlisting/delisting the Colorado squawfish.

Objective and measurable criteria must be developed by Federal and State conservation agencies to determine when Colorado squawfish populations/ recruitment are sufficiently high and habitat sufficiently protected to permit downlisting or delisting the species. Monitoring activities (Task 1) should be designed and results evaluated to define when Colorado squawfish populations have become self-sustaining. Criteria addressing population size and demography needed for sufficient recruitment to offset losses from mortality must be determined to ensure that the populations can persist through natural reproduction. The Recovery Program for the Upper Basin (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1987) has a goal of recovering and delisting the Colorado squawfish by the year 2003.

51. Define naturally self-sustaining populations.

Various ideas exist for what constitutes a self-sustaining population. Criteria addressing population size needed for sufficient recruitment to offset losses from mortality must be determined to ensure that the populations can persist through natural reproduction. Monitoring activities (Task 1) should be designed and results evaluated to define when various Colorado squawfish populations are self-sustaining.

52. Establish quantifiable objectives for downlistino and delisting.

Objectives for downlisting and delisting must be further quantified so that it can be determined when recovery (i.e., self-sustaining populations) has progressed to the point where recommendations can be made to downlist or delist the Colorado squawfish. Information from the population viability analyses will be utilized to quantify recovery objectives. The information from genetics studies, discussed under Task 3, should be evaluated to assess populations interchange and inter-relatedness between the various subbasins.

LITERATURE CITED

Archer, D.L., L.R. Kaeding, B.D. Burdick, and C.W. McAda. 1985. A study of the endangered fishes of the Upper Colorado River. Final Report-Cooperative Agreement 14-16-0006-82-959. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Grand Junction, Colorado. 134 pp.

Banks, J.L. 1964. Fish species distribution in Dinosaur National Monument during 1961 and 1962. M.S. Thesis, Colorado State University, Fort Collins. 96 pp.

Baxter, G.T. and J.R. Simon. 1970. Wyoming fishes. Bulletin No. 4. Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Cheyenne, Wyoming.

Beamesderfer, R.C. and J.L. Congletcn. 1981. Spawning behavior, habitat selection and early life history of northern squawfish with inferences to Colorado squawfish. Pages 47-127 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Final Report-Part 2. Colorado River Fishery Project, Bureau of Reclamation and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Beckman, W.C. 1952. Guide to the fishes of Colorado. Colorado Fish and Game Department, Denver, Colorado. 110 pp.

Behnke, R.J. and D.E. Benson. 1983. Endangered and threatened fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Cooperative Extension Service, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, Bulletin 503A. 34 pp.

'Binns, N.A. and A.F. Eiserman, F.W. Jackson, A.F. Regenthal, and R. Stone. 1963. The planning, operation, and analysis of the Green River fish control project. Joint Report, Utah State Department of Fish and Game and Wyoming Game and Fish Department. 83 pp.

Black, T. and R.V. Bulkley. 1985. Preferred temperature of yearling Colorado squawfish. Southwestern Naturalist 30:95-100.

Bosley, C.E. 1960. Preimpoundment study of the Flaming Gorge Reservoir. Fisheries Technical Report Number 9. Wyoming Game and Fish Commission, Cheyenne, Wyoming. 81 pp.

Branson, B.A., M.E. Sisk, and C.J. McCoy, Jr. 1966. Ptychocheilus lucius from the Salt River, Arizona. Southwestern Naturalist 11:300.

Brooks, J.E. 1986. Reintroduction and monitoring of Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) in Arizona, 1985. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix. 15 pp.

Bulkley, R.V., C.R. Berry, R. Pimental and T. Black. 1982. Tolerance and preferences of Colorado endangered fishes to selected habitat parameters Pages 185-241 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Part 3 - Final Report. Colorado River Fishery Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation. Salt Lake City, Utah.

Carhart, A.H. 1950. Fishing in the West. MacMillan Company, New York.

Carlson, C.A., G.G. Prewitt, D.E. Snyder, E.J. Wick, E.L. Ames, and W.D. Fronk. 1979. Fishes and macroinvertebrates of the White and Yampa Rivers, Colorado. U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Denver, Colorado, Biological Science Series No. 1. 276 pp.

Carothers, S.W. and C.O. Minckley. 1981. A survey of the aquatic flora and fauna of the Grand Canyon. Final Report-Contract 7-07-30-X0026. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau or Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada. 401 pp.

Chamberlain, F.W. 1904. Unpublished field notes on file at Smithsonian Institution, Washington, D.C. 70 pp.

Dellenbaugh, F.S. 1908. A canyon voyage: the narrative of the second Powell expedition down the Green and Colorado Rivers from Wyoming and the explorations on land, in the years 1871 and 1872. The Knickerbocker Press, New York. 277 pp.

Dill, W.A. 1944. The fishery of the lower Colorado River. California Fish and Game 30:109-211.

Ellis, M.M. 1914. Fishes of Colorado: University of Colorado Studies 11:1-136.

Follett, W.A. 1961. The freshwater fishes - their origins and affinities. Symposium: the biogeography of Baja California and adjacent seas. Systematic Zoology 9:212-232.

Hamman, R.L. 1981. Spawning and culture of Colorado squawfish in raceways. Progressive Fish-Culturist 43:173-177.

Hamman, R.L. 1986. Induced spawning of hatchery-reared Colorado squawfish. Progressive Fish-Culturist 48:72-74.

Haines, G.B. and H.M. Tyus. 1990. Fish associations and environmental variables in Age-O Colorado squawfish habitats, Green River, Utah. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 5:427-435

Haynes, C.M., T.A. Lytle, E.J. Wick, and R.T. Muth. 1984. Larval Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius Girard) in the Upper Colorado River Basin, Colorado, 1979-81. Southwestern Naturalist 29:21-33.

Holden, P.B. 1973. Distribution, abundance and life history of fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis, Utah State University, Logan. 59 pp.

Holden, P.B. 1980. The relationship between flows in the Yampa River and success of rare fish populations in the Green River system. Report PR-31-1. BIO/WEST, Inc., Logan, Utah. 39 pp.

Holden, P.B. and C.B. Stalnaker. 1975a. Distribution and abundance of mainstream fishes of the middle and Upper Colorado River Basins, 1967-1973. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 104:217-321.

Holden, P.B. and C.B. Stalnaker. 1975b. Distribution of fishes in the Dolores and Yampa River systems of the Upper Colorado Basin. Southwestern Naturalist 19:403-412.

Holden, P.B. and T.M. Twedt. 1980. The development of habitat suitability curves and estimation of available habitat for Colorado squawfish in the San Juan River, New Mexico and Utah. Report PR-46-1-1. BIO/WEST, Inc., Logan, Utah.

Holden, P.B. and E.J. Wick. 1982. Life History and prospects for recovery of Colorado squawfish. Pages 98-108 in W.H. Miller, H.M. Tyus, and C.A. Carlson, eds. Proceedings of a symposium on fishes of the Upper Colorado River system: present and future. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland. 131 pp.

Jacobi, G.Z. and M.D. Jacobi. 1982. Fish stomach content analysis. Pages 285-324 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Final Report-Part 2. Colorado River Fishery Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Johnson, J.E. 1976. Status of endangered and threatened fish species in Colorado. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Technical Note 280. 28 pp.

Johnson, K. and M. Oberholtzer. 1987. Investigation into possible occurrence of Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) and other federally threatened or endangered fish species in the lower Green River drainage and the Little Snake River drainage of Wyoming. Administrative Report - Project Numbers 5086-13-8601 and 4486-13-8601. Wyoming Game and Fish Department, Cheyenne. 12 pp.

Jordan, D.S. 1891. Report of explorations in Colorado and Utah during the summer of 1889, with an account of the fishes found in each of the river basins examined. U.S. Fish Commission Bulletin 89:1-40.

Jordan, D.S. and B.W. Evermann. 1896. The fishes of North and Middle America. Bulletin U.S. National Museum 47 (1):1240.

Kaeding, L.R. and D.B. Osmundson. 1988. Interaction of slow growth and increased early-life mortality: An hypothesis on the decline of Colorado squawfish in the upstream regions of its historic range. Environmental Biology of Fishes 22:287-298.

Karp, C.A. and H.M. Tyus. 1990. Behavioral interactions between young Colorado squawfish and six fish species. Copeia 1990:25-34.

Koster, W.J. 1957. Guide to the fishes of New Mexico. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque. 116 p.

Koster, W.J. 1960. Ptychocheilus lucius (Cyprinidae) in the San Juan River, New Mexico. The Southwestern Naturalist 5(3):174-175.

Lanigan, S.H. and C.R. Berry, Jr. 1981. Distribution of fishes in the White River, Utah. Southwestern Naturalist 26:389-393.

LaRivers, I. 1962. Fishes and fisheries of Nevada. State Game and Fish Commission, Reno, Nevada. 782 pp.

Lee, D.S., C.R. Gilbert, C.H. Honeycutt, R.E. Jenkins, D.E. McCallister, and J.R. Stauffer, Jr. 1980. Atlas of North American freshwater fishes. North Carolina State Museum Publication 1980-12. 854 pp.

Lemons, D.B. 1954. A field survey of western Colorado streams and lakes. Colorado Department of Fish and Game, Denver, Colorado. 29 pp.

Marsh, P.C. 1985.. Effect of incubation temperature on survival of embryos of native Colorado River fishes. Southwestern Naturalist 30(1):129-140.

Marsh, P.C., M.E. Douglas, W.L. Minckley, and R.J. Timmons. 1991. Rediscovery of the Colorado squawfish, Ptychocheilus lucius (Cyprinidae), in Wyoming. Copeia 1991 (4): in press.

Martinez, P.J. 1986a. White River Taylor Draw Project: pre- and post-impoundment fish community investigations. Final Report-Contract 5281-x. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Grand Junction. 121 pp.

Martinez, P.J. 1986b. Kenney Reservoir lake management plan. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Grand Junction. 43 pp.

McAda, C.W. 1983. Colorado squawfish, Ptychocheilus lucius (Cyprfnidae), with a channel catfish, Ictalurus ounctatus (Ictaluridae), lodged in its throat. Southwestern Naturalist 28(1):119-120.

McAda, C.W. and L.R. Kaeding. 1989. Relations between maximum annual river discharge and the relative abundance of age-0 Colorado squawfish and other fishes in the upper Colorado River. Final Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery Project. Grand Junction, Colorado. 25 pp.

McAda, C.W. and H.M. Tyus. 1984. Resource overlap of age-0 Colorado squawfish with other fish species in the Green River, Fall 1980. Proceedings of the Bonneville Chapter, American Fisheries Society 1984:44-54.

Miller, R.R. 1955. Fish remains from archaeological sites in the Lower Colorado River Basin, Arizona. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts, and Letters 40:125-136.

Miller, R.R. 1961. Man and the changing fish fauna of the American Southwest. Papers of the Michigan Academy of Science, Arts, Letters 46:365-404.

Miller, R.R. and C.H. Lowe. 1964. An annotated checklist of the fishes of Arizona. Pages 133-151 in C.H. Lowe, ed. The vertebrates of Arizona. University of Arizona Press, Tucson.

Miller, W.H., D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, and K.C. Harper. 1982a. White River fishes study. Final Report. Colorado River Fishery Project, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah. 58 pp.

Miller, W.H., D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, and R.M. McNatt. 1982b. Yampa River fishes study. Final Report. Colorado River Fishery Project, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah. 78 pp.

Miller, W.H., L.R. Kaeding, H.M. Tyus, C.W. McAda, and B.D. Burdick. 1984. Windy Gap Fishes Study. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah. 37 pp.

Miller, W.H., H.M. Tyus, and C.W. McAda. 1983. Movements, migration and habitat preference of radiotelemetered Colorado squawfish, Green, White, and Yampa Rivers, Colorado and Utah. Colorado River Fishery Project, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Salt Lake City, Utah. 39 pp.

Minckley, C.O. and S.W. Carothers. 1980. Recent collection of the Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker from the San Juan and Colorado Rivers in New Mexico and Arizona. Southwestern Naturalist 24:686-687.

Minckley, W.L. 1965. Native fishes as natural resources. Pages 60-62 in J.L. Gardner, ed. Native plants and animals as resources in arid lands of the Southwestern United States. American Association for the Advancement of Science Committee on Desert and Arid Zone Research, Contribution 8.

Minckley, W.L. 1973. Fishes of Arizona. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Phoenix. 293 pp.

Minckley, W.L. 1979. Aquatic habitats and fishes of the lower Colorado River, southwestern United States. Final Report-Contract number 14-06-300-2529. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Boulder City, Nevada.

Minckley, W.L. 1985. Native fishes and natural aquatic habitats in U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Region II, west of the Continental Divide. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Endangered Species, Albuquerque, New Mexico. 158 pp.

Minckley, W.L. and N.T. Alger. 1968. Fish remains from an archaeological site along the Verde River, Yavapai County, Arizona. Plateau 40:91-97.

Minckley, W.L. and J.E. Deacon. 1968. Southwestern fishes and the enigma of "endangered species." Science, 159:1424-1432.

Minckley, W.L. and G.K. Meffe. 1987. Differential selection for native fishes by flooding in streams of the arid American southwest. Pages 96-104 in W.J. Matthews and D.C. Heines, eds. Community and evolutionary ecology of North American stream fishes. University of Oklahoma Press, Norman.

Moffett, J.W. 1942. A fishery survey of the Colorado River below Boulder Dam. California Fish and Game 28:76-84.

Moffett, J.W. 1943. A preliminary report on the fishery of Lake Mead. Transactions of the North American Wildlife Conference 8:179-186.

Molles, M. 1980. The impacts of habitat alterations and introduced species on the native fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin. Pages 163-181 in W.D. Spofford, Jr., A.L. Parker, and A.V. Kneese, ed. Energy development in the southwest, Volume II. Resources for the Future Research Paper R-18. John Hopkins University, Baltimore, Maryland.

Morgan, D.L. (ed). 1964. The west of William H. Ashley. The Old West Publishing Company, Denver, Colorado. 341 pp.

Moyle, P.B. 1976. Inland fishes of California. University of California Press, Berkeley.

Nesler, T.P., R.T. Muth and A.F. Wasowicz. 1988. Evidence for baseline flow spikes as spawning cues for Colorado Squawfish in the Yampa River, Colorado. American Fisheries Society Symposium 5:68-79.

O'Brien, J.S. 1984. 1983 Yampa River cobble reach morphology investigations: Final report. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Endangered Species, Salt Lake City, Utah. 79 pp.

Osmundson, D.B. 1987. Growth and survival of Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) stocked in riverside ponds, with reference to largemouth bass (Micropterus salmoides) predation. Masters Thesis. Utah State University, Logan, Utah.

Osmundson, D.B., and L.R. Kaeding. 1989. Studies of Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker use of the '15 mile reach' of the upper Colorado River as part of conservation measures for the Green Mountain and Ruedi Reservoir Watersales. Final Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Colorado River Fishery project. Grand Junction, Colorado. 85 pp.

Patten, B.G. and D.T. Rodman. 1969. Reproductive behavior of northern squawfish (Ptychocheilus oreoonensis). Transactions of the American Fishery Society 98:108-110.

Persons, W.R. and R.V. Bulkley. 1982. Feeding activity and spawning time of striped bass in the Colorado River inlet, Lake Powell, Utah. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:403-408.

Paulin, K.M., H.M. Tyus, and C.M. Williams. 1989. Response of young Colorado squawfish and razorback suckers to water flow and light intensity. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Vernal, Utah.

Pimental, R., R.V. Bulkley, and H.M. Tyus. 1985. Choking of Colorado squawfish, Ptychocheilus lucius (Cyprinidae), on channel catfish, Ictalurus ounctatus (Ictaluridae), as a cause of mortality. Southwestern Naturalist 30:154-158.

Platania, S.P., M.A. Moretti, D.L. Probst, and J.E. Brooks. 1991. Status of Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker in the San Juan River Colorado, New Mexico, and Utah. Southwestern Naturalist 36:147-150.

Prewitt, C.G., W. H. Wick, D. E. Snyder, and C. A. Carlson. 1978. Baseline survey of aquatic macroinvertebrates and fishes of the White and Yampa Rivers, Colorado. Semi-annual Report, 19 Jan. 1978. Department of Fishery and Wildlife Biology, Colorado State University, Fort Collins. 29 pp.

Radant, R.D., J.S. Cranney, R.G. Ruesink and K. Rose. 1983. Colorado squawfish investigations - White 'River, Utah. U.S. Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, Salt Lake City, Utah. 39 pp. .

Rostlund, E. 1952. Freshwater fish and fishing in native North America University of California Publication Geography 9. 313 pp.

Seethaler, K.H. 1978. Life history and ecology of the Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) in the Upper Colorado River Basin. M.S. Thesis. Utah State University, Logan. 156 pp.

Sheldon, A. L., 1988. Conservation of stream fishes: Patterns of diversity, Rarity, and Risk. Conservation Biology 2(2):149-156.

Sigler, W.F. and R.R. Miller. 1963. Fishes of Utah. Department of Fish and Game, Salt Lake City, Utah. 203 pp.

Smith, G.R. 1975. Fishes of the Pliocene Glenns Ferry Formation, southwest Idaho. University of Michigan Papers on Paleontology 14:1-68.

Smith, G.R. 1981. Effects of habitat size on species richness and adult body size of desert fishes. Pages 125-169 in R.J. Naiman and D.L. Stoltz, eds. Fishes in North American deserts. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Smith, M.L. 1981. Late Cenozoic fishes in the warm deserts of North America: an interpretation of desert adaptation. Pages 11-38 in R.J. Naiman and D.L. Stoltz, eds. Fishes in North American deserts. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

Toney, D.P. 1974. Observations on the propagation and rearing of two endangered fish species in a hatchery environment. Proceedings Western Association State Game and Fish Commission 54:252-259.

Tyus, H.M. 1985. Homing behavior noted for Colorado squawfish. Copeia 1985: 213-215.

Tyus, H.M. 1986. Life strategies in the evolution of the Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius). Great Basin Naturalist 46:656-661.

Tyus, H.M. 1988. Long term retention of implanted transmitters in Colorado squawfish and razorback sucker. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 8:264-267.

Tyus, H.M. 1990. Potamodromy and reproduction of Colorado Squawfish Ptyochocheilus lucius. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 119:1,035-1,047.

Tyus, H.M. 1991a. Movements and habitat use of young Colorado squawfish in the Green River, Utah. Journal of Freshwater Ecology 6:43-51.

Tyus, H.M. 1991b. Ecology and Management of Colorado Squawfish Ptychocheilus luciusn : Minckley, W.L. and S. Decon. Battle against extinction: Management of native fishes in the American Southwest. University of Arizona press, Tucson: in press.

Tyus H.M., B.D. Burdick, R.A. Valdez, T.A. Lytle, C.W. Haynes, and 'C.R. Berry. 1982a. Fishes of the Upper Colorado River Basin: Abundance, Distribution, and Status. Pages 12-70 in W.H. Miller, H.M. Tyus, and C. L. Carlson, eds. Proceedings of a symposium on fishes of the Upper Colorado River System: present and future. American Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland. 131 pp.

Tyus, H.M., B.D. Burdick, and C.W. McAda. 1984. Use of radiotelemetry for obtaining habitat preference data on Colorado squawfish. North American Journal of Fisheries Management 4:177-180.

Tyus, H.M. and G.B. Haines. 1991. Distribution, habitat use, and growth of Age-O Colorado squawfish in the Green River Basin, Colorado and Utah. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 120:79-89.

Tyus, H.M., R.L. Jones, and L.A. Trinca. 1987. Colorado River fishes monitoring project. 1982-1985. Final Report. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Vernal, Utah. 127 pp.

Tyus, H.M. and C.A. Karp. 1989. Habitat Use and Stream Flow Needs of Rare and Endangered Fishes, Yampa River, Colorado. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Biological Report 89(14):1-27.

Tyus, H.M., and C.A. Karp. 1991. Habitat Use and Stream Flow Needs of Rare and Endangered Fishes; Flaming Gorge Studies. Consolidated Report. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Vernal, Utah.

Tyus, H.M., C.W. McAda, and B.D. Burdick. 1982b. Green River fishery investigations: 1979-1981. Pages 1-99 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Final Report-Part 1. Colorado River Fishery Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Tyus, H.M. and C.W. McAda. 1984. Migration, movements and habitat preferences of Colorado squawfish, Ptychocheilus lucius, in the Green, White, and Yampa Rivers, Colorado and Utah. Southwestern Naturalist 29:289-299.

Tyus, H.M., and W.L. Minckley. 1988. Migrating Mormon crickets, Anabrus simplex (Orthoptera: Tettigoniidae), as food for stream fishes. Great Basin Naturalist 48(1):25-30.

Tyus, H.M., R.A. Valdez, and B.D. Williams. 1986. Status of endangered. fishes in the Upper Colorado River, 1985. Proceedings Bonneville Chapter American Fisheries Society 1986:20-30.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1985. Federal Resister 30188. Final Rule: Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Determination of Experimental Population Status for Certain Introduced Populations of the Colorado Squawfish and Woundfin.

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1987. Recovery implementation program for endangered fish species in the Upper Colorado River Basin. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Division of Endangered Species, Denver, Colorado.

Uyeno, T. and R.R. Miller. 1965. Middle Pliocene fishes from the Bidahochi Formation, Arizona. Copeia 1965:28-41.

Valdez, R.A. 1990. The Endangered Fish of Cataract Canyon. Final Report prepared for the United States Department of Interior, Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contract No. 6-CS-40--3980, Fisheries Biology and Rafting. BIO/WEST Report No. 134-3. 94 pp t appendices.

Valdez, R.A., P.G. Mangan, M. McInery, and R.P. Smith. 1982a. Tributary report: fishery investigations of the Gunnison and Dolores Rivers. Pages 321-362 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Part 2-Field investigations. Colorado River Fishery Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Valdez, R.A., P.G. Mangan, R. Smith, and B. Nilson. 1982b. Upper Colorado River fisheries investigations (Rifle, Colorado to Lake Powell, Utah). Pages 100-279 in W.H. Miller, J.J. Valentine, D.L. Archer, H.M. Tyus, R.A. Valdez, and L. Kaeding, eds. Part E-Field investigations. Colorado River Fishery Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Valdez, R.A., and W.J. Masslich. 1989. Winter habitat study of endangered fish-Green River. Wintertime movement and habitat of adult Colorado squawfish and razorback suckers. Prepared for the united States Department of Interior-Bureau of Reclamation, Salt Lake City, Utah. Contract No. 6-CS-40--4490. BIO/WEST Report No. 136-2. 184 pp.

Valdez, R.A., and E.J. Wick. 1983. Natural vs. manmade backwaters as native fish habitat. Pages 519-536 in V.D. Adams and V.A. Lamarra, eds. Aquatic Resources Management of the Colorado River Ecosystem. Ann Arbor Science, Ann Arbor, Michigan.

Vanicek, C.D. 1967. Ecological studies of native Green River fishes below Flaming Gorge Dam, 1964-1966. Ph.D. Thesis, Utah State University.

Vanicek, C.D. and R.H. Kramer. 1969. Life history of the Colorado squawfish (Ptychocheilus lucius) and the Colorado chub (Gila robusta) in the Green River in Dinosaur National Monument 1964-1966. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 98:193-208.

Vanicek, C.D., R.H. Kramer, and D.R. Franklin. 1970. Distribution of Green River fishes in Utah and Colorado following closure of Flaming Gorge Dam. Southwest Naturalist 14:297-315. . .

VTN Consolidated, Inc. 1978. Fish, wildlife and habitat assessment, San Juan River, New Mexico and Utah--Gallop-Navajo Indian Water Supply Project. U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Upper Colorado River Region, Salt Lake City, Utah. 241 pp.

Wallis, O.L. 1951. The status of the fish fauna of the Lake Mead National Recreation Area, Arizona-Nevada. Transactions of the American Fisheries Society 80:84-92

Waters, F. 1946. The Colorado. Holt, Rinehart, and Winston, New York. 400 pp.

White, N.P. and W.B. Garrett. 1988. Water Resources Data for Arizona, Water Year 1985. U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report AZ-85-l.

Wick, E.J., J.A. Hawkins and C.A. Carlson. 1985. Colorado squawfish population and habitat monitoring 1983-1984. Final Report SE-3-7. Colorado Division of Wildlife and Colorado State University, Larval Fish Laboratory, Fort Collins. 48 pp.

Wick, E.J., J.A. Hawkins and C.A. Carlson. 1986. Colorado squawfish population and habitat monitoring 1985. Final Report SE-3-B. Colorado Division of Wildlife and Colorado State University, Larval Fish Laboratory, Fort Collins. 80 pp.

Wick, E.J. and J.A. Hawkins. 1989. Observations on the use of the Little Snake River in Colorado, by endangered Colorado squawfish and humpback chub, 1988. Larval fish laboratory, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado. 10 pp.

Wick, E.J., T.A. Lytle, and C.M. Haynes. 1981. Colorado squawfish and humpback chub population and habitat monitoring, 1979-1980. Progress report, Endangered Wildlife Investigation SE-3-3. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, Colorado. 156 pp.

Wick, E.J., D.E. Snyder, D. Langlois, and T. Lytle. 1979. Federal Aid to endangered wildlife job progress report. Colorado squawfish and humpback chub population and habitat monitoring. Endangered Wildlife Investigations SE-3-2. Colorado Division of Wildlife, Denver, Colorado.

Wick, E.J., D.L. Stoneburner, and J.A. Hawkins. 1983. Observations on the ecology of Colorado squawfish, (Ptychocheilus lucius) in the Yampa River, Colorado; 1982. Progress Report SE-3-5. U.S. National Park Service, Water Research Field Support Laboratory Report No. 83-7, Colorado Division of Wildlife, Fort Collins, Colorado. 55 pp.

PART III
IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE

The Implementation Schedule that follows outlines actions and estimated costs for the recovery program. It is a guide for meeting the objectives discussed in Part II of this plan. This schedule indicates the general category for implementation, recovery plan tasks, corresponding outline numbers, task priorities, duration of tasks ("ongoing" denotes a task that has begun and should continue on an annual basis), the responsible agencies, and lastly, estimated costs. These actions, when accomplished, should bring about the recovery of the Colorado squawfish and protect its habitat.

KEY TO IMPLEMENTATION SCHEDULE COLUMNS

Definition of Priorities

Priority 1: An action that must be taken to prevent extinction or to prevent the species from declining irreversibly in the foreseeable future.

Priority 2: An action that must be taken to prevent a significant decline in species population/habitat quality, or some other significant negative impact short of extinction.

Priority 3: An action necessary to provide for full recovery (or reclassification) of the species.

Abbreviations Used in Implementation Schedule

AZ	Arizona Game and Fish Department
BIA	Bureau of Indian Affairs, U.S. Department of Interior
BLM	Bureau of Land Management, U.S. Department of Interior
BR	Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Department of Interior
CA	California Department of Fish and Game
co	Colorado Division of Wildlife
FR	Fishery Resources, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service? U.S. Department of Interior
FWE	Fish and Wildlife Enhancement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service U.S. Department of Interior
NM	New Mexico Department of Game and Fish
NPS	National Park Service, U.S. Department of Interior
NV	Nevada Department of Wildlife
UT	Utah Division of Wildlife Resources
WAPA	Western Area Power Administration
WY	Wyoming Game and Fish Department

Other Definitions

Continuous Task which will be required over a very long or undetermined period of time.

Ongoing Task which is now being implemented, and should be continued on an annual basis.

Part III- Implementation Schedule
Colorado Squawfish

PRIORITY	TASK #	PLAN TASK	TASK DURATION	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY			FISCAL YEAR COSTS (EST.)			COMMENTS/NOTES
				FWS		OTHER	FY-01	FY-02	FY-03	
				REGION	PROGRAM					
I	221 222 223 224 225	Refine and enforce laws regulations	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, WY, UT, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	---	---	---	Done with existing personnel and funds
I	321 322 323	♦ fish in Lower Basin	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, NM, NV, WY, BLM, BR, NPS	50,000	50,000	50,000	Reintroduce in Lower Basin
1	211 212 213 214	Determine threats to populations and habitat	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BR, BLM, NPS, WAPA	120,000	120,000	---	Identify and control threats
1	331 332	Augment/reintroduce fish in Upper Basin	Contin.	6, 2	FWE, FR	CO, UT, WY, BLM, BR, NPS	120,000	150,000	250,000	Assess feasibility and augment/ reintroduce in Upper Basin
I	231 232 233 234	Identify and monitor essential habitat	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BLM, WE, NPS, WAPA	200,000	200,000	200,000	Focus will be on spawning and nursery areas
1	241 242 243 244	Manage and restore primary habitat	10 yrs	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS	58,000	80,000	100,000	Focus will be on nursery areas

Part III- Implementation Schedule
Colorado Squawfish

PRIORITY	TASK #	PLAN TASK	TASK DURATION	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY FWS REGION	FWS PROGRAM	OTHER	FISCAL YEAR COSTS (EST.) FY-01	FY-02	FY-03	COMMENTS/NOTES
2	111 112 113	Monitor population	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CO, NM, UT, WY, BR, NPS	90,000	90,000	90,000	Monitor larvae, juveniles, YOY, and adults.
2	25	Develop and implement cooperative programs	15 yrs	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	115,000	115,000	115,000	
2	311 312	Develop rearing capabilities	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, UT, BR	20,000	20,000	50,000	Over ongoing effort at Dexter NFH
2	121 122 123 124	Expand on life history	5 yrs	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, UT, BR	128,000	128,000	128,000	All life stages
2	13	Develop standardized procedures for data collection	3 yrs	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, UT, BR	19,000	15,000	15,000	Especially important for monitoring programs
2	14	Develop work plans for research and monitoring	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, UT, BR	10,000	10,000	10,000	Continued coordination among all interested agencies

Part III- Implementation Schedule
Colorado Squawfish

PRIORITY	TASK #	PLAN TASK	TASK DURATION	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY FWS REGION	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY FWS PROGRAM	RESPONSIBLE AGENCY OTHER	FISCAL YEAR COSTS (EST.) FY-01	FY-02	FY-03	COMMENTS/NOTES
3	43	Promote information and education programs within agencies	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM, NV, UT, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	---	---	---	Done with existing personnel and funds
3	41	Conduct nationwide information and educational programs	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CO, NM NV, UT, WY, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	10,000	10,000	10,000	Include as part of program for all rare Colorado River Fishes
3	421 227	Conduct local information and education programs	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	20,000	20,000	20,000	Include as part of program for all rare Colorado River Fishes
3	77	Encourage publications of research	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA CO, NM, NV, UT, WY, VIA, BLM, BR, NPS, WAPA	10,000	10,000	20,000	Include as part of program for all rare Colorado River Fishes
3	S 51 52	Determine biological criteria/objectives for downlisting/delisting	Ongoing	6, 2	FWE, FR	AZ, CA, CA, NM, NV, UT, WY, BIA, BR, BLM, NPS	20,000	20,000	20,000	

This recovery plan was made available to the public for comment as required by the 1988 amendments to the Endangered Species Act of 1973. The first public comment period was announced in the Federal Register on July 21, 1989, and closed on September 19, 1989. . A second public comment period was announced in the Federal Resister on February 4, 1991, and closed on March 6, 1991. Over 250 press releases were sent to the print media located in the Colorado River Basin.

During these two public comment periods 30 letters were received. The comments provided in these letters have been considered, and incorporated as appropriate. Comments addressing recovery tasks that are the responsibility of an agency other than the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service have been sent to that agency as required by the 1988 amendments to the Act.